

OPERATION HARDTACK

PROJECT 2.8

WT-1625 Draft

DELETED VERSION ONLY

FALLOUT MEASUREMENTS BY AIRCRAFT AND ROCKET SAMPLING

- S. L. Whitcher
- L. R. Bunney
- R. A. da Roza
- R. R. Soule, Project Officer

U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco 24, California

August 1959

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED OF DOGIOC/ENA LTr. B-9920, 6/18/86 94/81 Press to Hawithornie WITH DELETIONS ΒY Strause 29/85 4/ 1

V. Act -01-1954-



E-C-R-E-T, R-E-S, F.R. LC-T-E D-D-A T A

ABSTRACT

The primary objective of the project was to estimate the partition of Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} between the local and long-range fallout formed by megatonrange nuclear detonations over land and water surfaces. A secondary objective was to determine the spatial distribution of radioactivity (and particles) in the nuclear clouds a few minutes after stabilization. It was planned to achieve these objectives by radiochemical analyses and particle size measurements on the following types of samples:

1. Samples of the particles and radioactive gases present in the upper portions of the clouds to be collected by high flying aircraft.

2. Samples of the particulate matter in the clouds to be collected along nearly vertical flight paths, at several different distances from the cloud axis, by rocket-propelled sampling devices.

3. Samples of the fallout to be collected at an altitude of 1,000 feet by low-flying aircraft.

The project participated in a megaton shot (Koa) fired over a coral island, a **megaton** (Walnut) fired from a barge in deep water, and a 9-MT shot (Oak) fired over a coral reef in shallow water. The aircraft sampling program was generally successful, and fairly complete sets of both cloud and fallout samples were collected on each shot. The rocket program was unsuccessful due to a variety of equipment malfunctions.



SE-C.B.F.T. R.F.H.T.F. C. MED. D.A.T.

The gas samples were analyzed for radioactive krypton and the cloud and fallout samples were each analyzed for Sr^{90} , Cs^{137} and several other nuclides to give information on fractionation. Fall rate and size distribution measurements were made on the particle samples from the land surface shot. The combined analytical data were used to estimate the distribution of Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} between the local and long-range fallout.

There are no results to be reported on the spatial distribution of radioactivity in the clouds since this part of the project was dependent on the rocket samples.

The results indicate, if the layers sampled were representative of the total clouds that for water shots, around one-fourth of the Sr^{90} and one-third of the Cs¹³⁷ formed will be dispersed over distances greater than 4,000 miles. Corresponding figures for a coral land surface are one-fifth for Sr^{90} and one-half for Cs¹³⁷. Radiomuclide fractionation was pronounced, i.e., the radiomuclide composition varied from layer to layer, in the land surface shot. The local fallout was depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} and the upper portions of the clouds were enriched. Fractionation was much less for the water shot.

It is recommended that a similar project, with a more detailed analysis of radionuclide distributions be included as part of the program if future weapons tests are scheduled. Such a project could provide more valuable information than the HARDTACK data.



0018933

PREFACE

In the formulation of this project, three distinct parts were established: rocket fallout sampling, aircraft fallout sampling and sample analysis, data interpretation and report preparation. Responsibility for the conduct of rocket sampling was assigned to the University of California Radiation Laboratory (UCRL); responsibility for the conduct of the aircraft sampling was assigned to the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL); and responsibility for the conduct of sample analysis, report writing, etc., was assigned to the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL). The Project Officer was supplied from the NRDL technical staff. H. F. Plank, as technical advisor to the project officer, was responsible for the conduct of the LASL portion, E. H. Fleming acted in a similar capacity for the NRDL portion and N. E. Ballou and T. Triffet are responsible for the NRDL portion.

S E-G-R-PLILIRIESTP-R-J-G-TE-DED-A-T

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

()

The authors wish to acknowledge the vital contributions made to the Project, in both the field and the laboratory, by members of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, the University of California Radiation Laboratory, and the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory.

Persons contributing invaluable aid included: G. Cowan, P. Guthals, and H. Plank from the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory; R. Batzel,

E. Fleming, R. Goeckerman, F. Momyer, W. Nervik, P. Stevenson, and K. Street from the University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory; and J. Abriam, N. Ballou, C. Carnahan, E. Freiling, M.G. Lai, D. Love, J. Mackin, M. Nuckolls, J. O'Connor, D. Sam, E. Scadden, E. Schuert, P. Strom, E. R. Tompkins, T. Triffet, H. Weiss, L. Werner and P. Zigman from the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory.





ST CHERTERIES SUD RIDG THE DED AT A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ċ

ABSTRACT	2
PREFACE	4
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	5
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 Objectives	11
1.2 Background and Theory •••••••••••••••••	11
 1.2.1 Formation and Nature of Fallout Particles 1.2.2 Cloud Development 1.2.3 Transport and Distribution 1.2.4 Procedures for the Determination of Fallout Partition 1.2.5 Prior Estimates of Local Fallout 1.2.6 World Wide Fallout 1.2.7 Fractionation Effects (I): Observations at other Tests 1.2.8 Fractionation Effects (II): Relations among the R-Values for Several Radionuclides 	14 19 20 23 26 28 30 32
1.3 Experimental Program	34
1.3.1Outline of the Program31.3.2Rocket Sampling of Clouds31.3.3Aircraft Sampling of Clouds31.3.4Aircraft Sampling of Fallout31.3.5Selection of Radionuclides3	34 35 36 37 39
CHAPTER 2. PROCEDURE	10
2.1 Shot Participation	ŧ0
2.2 Instrumentation • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	£1
2.2.1 Rocket-Borne Cloud Sampler	£1

At THE REPORT AND THE AT

ECRETERES TRIGE ED DAT A

43 2.2.2 Aircraft-Borne Samplers 49 2.2.3 Discussion of Some Errors in Sampling. 50 2.3 Description of Field Operations . . . 50 2.3.1 Meteorology 54 2.3.2 Koa Event 57 2.3.3 Walnut Event . . . 59 2.3.4 Oak Event 60 2.3.5 Rocket Development Work . . 61 2.3.6 Aircraft Samples . . . 6**Z** 2.4 Particle Work . 64 2.5 Sample Analysis and Radiochemical Procedures 6**8** 2.6 Data Reduction . . 69 CHAPTER 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . 69 3.1 Discussion and Interpretation of the Date . 69 3.1.1 Cloud Data 78 3.1.2 Fallout Data 83 3.1.3 Combined Cloud and Fallout 86 3.2 Data Reliability 86 3.2.1 Cross Contamination of Kea Samples 87 3.2.2 Accuracy of Radiochemistry · · · · 87 3.2.3 Reliability of Sampling • • • • • • • 87 3.2.4 Farticle Fall Rates and Specific Activities 89 3.3 Comparison with Results of Previous Tests . 90 3.4 Effectiveness of Instrumentation . 91 CHAPTER 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 91 4.1 Conclusions 92 4.2 Recommendations

7

GECRET RESTRICTED DATA

(Ì)

SEOREIT REISTRACTED DATA

();

APPEND	IX A. ROCKET DEVELOPMENT	94
APPEND	IX B. RADIOCHEMICAL DATA TABLES	108
APPEND	IX C. PARTICLE DATA AND CHARACTERISTICS, SHOT KOA.	116
APPEND	X D. METEOROLOGICAL DATA TABLES	12 8
APPEND	IX E. DERIVATION OF FORMULA FOR % Mo IN CLOUD	135
REFEREI	NCE S	137
FIGURES		
2.1	Air-Sampling Rocket	44
2.2	Diffuser Section of Air-Sampling Rocket • • • • • • • • •	44
2.3	Battery of Rockets Ready for Firing	45
2.4	B-57 Gross Particulate Sampler	45
2.5	Intake and Filter Section, B-57 Gas Sampler	47
2.6	Pumps and Gas Bottles, B-57 Gas Samplers	47
2.7	Filter Foil Installed on Top of B-50	48
2.8	B-50 Filter Screen	48
2.9	Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Koa	5 2
2.10	Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Walnut	53
2.11	Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Oak	55
3.1	Particle-Gas Fission Ratios as a Function of Time for Samples from the Light and Variable Wind Layer	71
3.2	Fraction of Total Sr ⁹⁰ Formed Which Remains Aloft at Various	~ 7
3.3	Times	12
	Times	73
3.4	Mo ⁹⁹ : Kr ⁸⁸ Ratios for the First Four Hours	7 9
A.1	Diagram to Illustrate Rocket Programming	100
A. 2	Schematic View of Rocket Nose Section	101
C.1	Particle Fall Rate Distribution Curves for Height Line Samples,	
	Shot Koa: Samples Massive Ll, L2, L3 and L4	119
C.2	Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for	
	Height Line Samples, Shot Koa: Sample Massive L5 • • • •	120
C.3	Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Height Line Samples, Shot Koa: Wilson Special Sample	120

(:

Atom CEASTRY ACTOR 1954

.

. 12 9

ELCERT REFERENCE OF DATA

C.4	, Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 502, Coarse	121
C.5	Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples. Shot Koa: Sample 502, Fine	121
c.6	Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 500, Coarse	122
C.7	' Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 500, Fine	12 2
C.8	Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 977, Coarse	123
C.9	Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 977, Fine	123
C.10	Shot Koa: Samples Massive Ll and Massive L4	124
C•11	Koa: Samples 502, Coarse, and 502, Fine	125
C.12	Koa: Samples 500, Coarse, and 500, Fine	126
U•13	Koa: Samples 977, Coarse, and 977, Fine	127

(:

TABLES

2.1	Device Information	40
2.2	Cloud Altitude Data '	51
3.1	Particle-Gas Fission Ratios and R-Values for Samples from	
	Light and Variable Wind Layer	74
3.2	Percent of Nuclides Left in Cloud after One Day	75
3.3	Sr90 and Cs137 R-Values vs Altitude	- 77
3.4	Mo99: Kr ⁸⁸ , Kr ⁸⁸ : Kr ⁸⁵ Ratios for First Four Hours	80
3.5	Data on Nuclides in Fallout	83
3.6	Enrichment Factors in Fallout	84
3.7	Mo ⁹⁹ Fractions from Combined Data	85(2
3.8	Fractions of Mo ⁹⁹ , Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} in cloud	821
3.9	Comparison of Airborne and Deposited Fractions	
3.10	Radiotungsten Analyses on Koa Cloud Samples	80
3.11	Cloud Data, Operation Redwing • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	83 00
3.12	R-Values for Redwing	67
B.l	Radiochemical Data, Koa Particulate Samples	110
B.2	Radiochemical Data, Koa Gas Samples	111
B•3	Radiochemical Data, Walnut Particulate Samples	112
B.4	Radiochemical Data, Walnut Gas Samples	
B.5	Radiochemical Data, Oak Particulate Samples	114
в.6	Radiochemical Data, Oak Gas Samples	112



<u>- 5-6</u>

APA

11

T : E

Frerev

e

CHERTER ESTERAL

()

C.1	List of Samples Measured, Shot Koa	>
C.2	Particle Classification and Size Measurements, Shot Koa 118	\$
D.1	Winds Aloft Data, Shot Kca	
D. 2	Winds Aloft Data, Shot Walnut)
D.3	Winds Aloft Data, Shot Oak	Ĺ
D.4	Atmospheric Temperature Data, Shot Koa	-
D.5	Atmospheric Temperature Data, Shot Walnut	\$
D.6	Atmospheric Temperature Data, Shot Oak	ŀ

()



14.

TTATT

TEGRED TRESTREEGED DIAS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The general objective of the project was to estimate, from analytical data on cloud samples, the relative distribution of certain radionuclides between the local and world-wide fallout formed by megaton-range detonations on land and water surfaces, with particular emphasis on the distribution of Sr⁹⁰ and Cs¹³⁷ between local and world-wide fallout.

Specific objectives were to: (1) obtain airborne particle and gas samples by rocket and aircraft sampling techniques; (2) determine the distribution of radionuclides between two groups of particles which differed from one another in their falling rates in air and which could be considered representative of local and world wide fallout; (3) attempt to determine an early-time distribution of radionuclides and particles between the upper and lower halves of the cloud and radially outward from the cloud axis; (4) estimate the extent of separation of fallout from gaseous fission products by fission determinations on gas and particle camples collected coincidentally near the top of the cloud at various times following the shots.

1.2 BACKGROUND AND THEORY

Data on the geographical distribution of fallout are particularly needed to assess the global bazards associated with the testing of nuclear weapons,

11

SEGRETTRESTRECTED.DATA

but they are also important for an appraisal of the effects of nuclear weapons used in warfare.

It has been recognized since the earliest weapons tests that a substantial portion of the radionuclides formed in a nuclear detonation are deposited throughout the world, thereby becoming available for general biological assimilation. The total fallout is usually considered as being divided into two classes, designated as local and world-wide fallout. In a general way, local fallout is thought of as consisting of relatively large particles, which reach the earth's surface in a few hours, whereas world wide fallout is composed of finely-divided material which may remain suspended in the atmosphere for months or years and be deposited at long distances from the source. A more precise differentiation is needed for specific situations, one of the most important considerations being the location of the detonation site in relation to world centers of population. For explosions at the Pacific Proving Grounds, the boundary between the two classes has been chosen at a particle falling velocity of 3 inches per second; material settling out more slowly than this is likely to be transported beyond the ocean areas and deposited in inhabited regions, if it attains an altitude of 100,000 ft.

The ratio of local to world-wide fallout is/governed by the height attained by the nuclear cloud and the size distribution

/ of the particles in the nuclear cloud which act as collectors for the radioactive fission-product atoms. If many large particles with fast falling

12 EDDAT mic.Energy-Act-of

E GRETTRESTRICTEDATE

rates are present, as is the case for surface or underground shots where the fireball contacts the ground, the local fallout will be large. Local fallout can be expected to decrease as the detonation height increases and become a negligible quantity for an air burst high above the ground.

Numerous estimates of local fallout have been prepared at previous Operations, mainly from analyses of radiation intensity data obtained in aerial and surface monitoring surveys. However, the uncertainties in converting from dose rate measurements to fission products deposited per unit area are so great that the results cannot be regarded with a great deal of confidence. More reliable values are evidently needed and in planning for Operation HARDTACK, the AEC examined possible ways of obtaining such information (Reference 1). After consideration of the difficulties inherent in additional refinement of surface measurement techniques, this approach was abandoned. An alternative program based on further development of existing cloud sampling procedures was formulated (Reference 2) and this culminated in Project 2.8.

A knowledge of fallout partition and how it is influenced by shot environment may contribute to reduction in world-wide fallout at future tests and to a better understanding of the military implications of local fallout. It will also assist in extrapolation to previously untried shot conditions and yields.

13

Acoust 137 Tex of 1954

0018933

Formation and Nature of Fallout Particles. When a surface 1.2.1 burst is detonated, great quantities of the adjacent environment are swept upard mixed with the incandescent air in the fireball. There is sufficient thermal energy in the hot gas to completely vaporize all the material in the immediate vicinity, but the flow of heat into a massive object, such as a shot tower, shield or coral rock, will be comparatively slow even with a high temperature gradient. Consequently, the interior portions of large structures in the neighborhood may not receive enough heat to evaporate and will be melted only. Later, when the fireball has risen above the surface, the material carried into it by the vertical air currents around ground zero will not be heated to the melting point. As z result, the fireball in its later stages will contain the environmental components as a mixture of solid particles, molten drops and vapor. The extraneous material in the Pacific shots will consist of coral and ocean water salts plus the components of the device, shield, and tower or barge.

The prependerance of caygen and of the environmental material in the fireball is of outstanding importance in the formation of the fallout particles. As the hot air cools through the range 3500-1000 K^o., it becomes saturated with respect to the vaporized constituents and they con-(Reference 3) dense out as an aggregate of liquid drops, most of which are very small (References 4 and 5). These are mixed with the larger drops formed by

14 TELDID

fusion and with solid particles.

The radionuclide atoms present will collide frequently with oxygen atoms or molecules and, since the majority of them are electron donors, metallic oxide molecules will be formed which become thermodynamically stable as the temperature falls. The oxide molecules, or free radionuclide atoms, also have frequent collisions with the liquid drops of environmental material (silica, alumina, iron oxide or calcium oxide) and these collisions may be inelastic since in some cases the incoming molecules will be held by strong attractive forces. The radioactive oxide molecules which condense at the liquid surface will spread into the interior of the drops and become more or less uniformly distributed throughout. Later, after the liquid drops have frozen, the incoming radionuclide molecules may be held by surface forces.

Another way in which the radionuclide molecules may become associated with the environmental material is by participation in the structure of the cluster embryos which are the precursors of the liquid drops (References 4 and 6).

Due to the very low concentrations of the radionuclide oxide molecules, collisions with one another will be relatively infrequent and it appears that the aggregation of enough molecules of this type to form a drop or crystal will be a rare event, if it cocurs at all.

15

19.6

ECRETRETRETEDDATA

0018933

The isobaric radionuclide chains formed in the explosion are known to be distributed on a mass scale in a way generally similar to the products of asymmetric fission of U²³⁵ by thermal neutrons, but with some important differences. The experimental yield curve for slow neutron fission has a broad minimum for mass numbers approximately one-half that of the original nucleus and maxima on either side at mass numbers in the neighborhood of 95 and 139 (Reference 7). Comparing the chain yields for megaton-range detonations with this curve, it is noted that there is a small drop in the peak yields accompanied by an increase in the symmetric fission probability. The same nuclide distribution might be expected in the fallout material and this is found to be roughly true under certain conditions. In other cases, the elements formed initially partially separat with respect to fone another so that samples of fallout may differ in composition among themselves and also from the distribution curve characteristic for

the event.

Fractionation is a term which has been applied to this phenomenon and it is used to signify an alteration in nuclide composition of some portion of the debris which renders it non-representative of the bomb products as a whole. The R-values, which are commonly used for reporting radiochemical data on cloud and fallout samples, are useful indices of fractionation. The R-value for any nuclide is defined as the ratio of the number of atoms

of this nuclide to the number of atoms of a reference substance (usually Mo⁹⁹) in the sample divided by the same ratio for the products of thermal of U²³⁵. neutron fission/ Atoms which do not separate from the reference substance have R-values appropriate for the type of detonation, while enrichment or depletion are manifested by positive or negative deviations from the characteristic value.

Knowledge of the causes and mechanism of fractionation is still largely incomplete at the present time. One effect that seems to be indicated by the available data may occur in the isobaric chains near mass numbers 90 and 140 which contain rare gas nuclides as prominent chain members. These have half-lives and independent fission yields such that they comprise a considerable fraction of the total chain yield during the period when the environmental material is condensing. If the rare gas atoms which collide with the liquid drops of environmental material are not held by strong forces, as appears probable, the particles formed at this stage will be depleted in the nuclide chains in question.

A variety of types of particles have been observed in the local fallout at previous test series (References 8,9,10,11, 12, 13). For land surface shots in the Pacific they have been mainly of three kinds: irregular grains, spherical solids and fragile agglomerated flakes. The grains were not, in general, uniform throughout, but consisted of layers or shells of calcium

17

S CERET RESTRECTION DATA Atomic Everge Act of 1954

oxide, calcium hydroxide and calcium carbonate formed by the decarbonation, hydration and recarbonation processes going on in the fireball and subsequently. The majority of them were white or transparent but some were yellow or brown. Many of the flaky aggregates were observed to disintegrate spontaneously into smaller particles within a few hours after collection.

In addition to these primary types, a fourth kind was noted consisting of small black spheres of calcium iron oxide $(2CaO \cdot Fe_2O_3)$. These were usually observed adhering to the surfaces of the large grains but occasionally were found isolated (Reference 12).

For detonations over ocean surfaces the fallout collected consisted of droplets of salt slurry 50-300 microns in diameter. These contained about 80% salt, 18% water and 2% insoluble solids by volume. The major part of the radioactivity was found in the insoluble solids portion.

The fallout deposited at more distant points has not been as well characterized, but is believed to be composed of minute spheres formed by condensation of the environmental material from the vapor plus a very fine, unfused dust swept up into the cloud from the area around the shot point (Reference 14).

The availability of the radioactivity in the fallout for assimilation into the biosphere depends to a large extent on its solubility in aqueous

Atomic Energy Act of 1954

SECRATER TRUCK

or slightly acid media. Determination of the soluble fraction is therefore an important problem and solubility studies have been reported on fallout from several of the shots at Operations CASTLE and REDWING. For CASTLE fallout, it was found that the soluble fraction was strongly dependent on the detonation environment, being around 0.05 for land shots and 0.58-0.73 for shots fired from a barge (Reference 15). The solubility in seawater of the fallout from the reef shot Tewa, Operation REDWING, was investigated in two ways: by leaching of particles placed on top of a glass wool column and by centrifuging a suspension of the fallout material (Reference 13). The soluble fractions found by these two methods were 0.08 and 0.18, respectively. An ultrafiltration method was used for determining the solubility of fallout from the land shot, Zuni. About 25 per cent of the total gamma activity and Np²³⁹ were soluble in seawater and 5 per cent of the total gamma activity was soluble in rainwater.

Recent investigations (Reference 60) have shown that biological availability is analogous to solubility in 1 N HCL. Bomb debris from large tests is 99 per cent soluble in 1 N HCL, independent of shot environment.

1.2.2 Cloud Development. During the later stages of existence of the fireball, it is transformed into a vortex ring whose rotational velocity persists up to the maximum cloud altitude, at least for the larger shots. The vortex contains the fission products, environmental material and bomb components which were present in the fireball and is the site where the radioactive fallout particles are generated. The cloud continues to rise until its buoyancy is reduced to zero by adiabatic expansion, entrainment

19

CHEFFORESTRELCT ED DAVI

. 232

TALE AND A TALE ALL ALL TA

of cold air and loss of energy in overcoming atmospheric drag (References 16, 17, 18). The diameter of the ring increases rapidly during the ascent and the cloud spreads out laterally to a large area as its upward velocity decreases. For smaller yields the cloud stops at the tropopause or below, but for megaton-range weapons the top may penetrate several thousand feet into the stratosphere. The time to maximum altitude is somewhat less than ten minutes.

A knowledge of the distribution of activity and particles within the stabilized cloud is needed for the establishment of a rational fallout model; however, the collection of a suitable set of samples which could be used to determine these quantities experimentally presents a formidable operational problem which has not yet been solved. Several distributions have been assumed in an effort to match the fallout patterns on the ground, but it is not known how closely these models correspond to the actual structure of the cloud. Considering the method of formation, it might perhaps be anticipated that the activity would be greatest in an anchor ring centered on the axis of the cloud. Some evidence for this structure was obtained at Operation REDWING with rockets with telemetering ionization chambers (Reference 19).

1.2.3 Transport and Distribution. During the ascent of the nuclear cloud the particles present are acted on by body forces and by the vertical

20

At mic-Energy Act of 1954

PROFILE RESTRICTION DIALLA

currents in the rising air. Some of the large particles will be heavy enough so that they will have a net downward velocity even though the cloud as a whole is moving upward. They will contribute to the fallout in the immedi-/During this time, volatile fission produc ate visinity of ground zero (Reference 20). may be fractionated from less volatile fission products by a kind of "fractional distillation" process within the hot cloud Once the upward motion has ceased, the particles in the cloud will

begin to settle out at rates determined by their density, dimensions and shapes and by the viscosity and density of the air (Reference 21). The terminal velocities for small spheres can be accurately calculated when the dependence of the drag coefficient on Reynold's number is known. Irregular or angular particles will fall more slowly than spheres of the same weight, but their velocities cannot be estimated as well due to uncertainty in the shape factors (Reference 22).

The particles which make up the local fallout follow trajectories to the surface governed by their fall rates and by the mean wind vector between their points of origin in the cloud and the ground level. Locations can be specified by reference to a surface coordinate system made up of height lines and size lines. The height lines are the loci of the points of arrival of all particles originating at given heights on the axis of the cloud. The size lines connect the arrival points of particles of the same size from different altitudes. Time and space variation of the winds will change the magnitude and direction of the mean wind vector, and vertical motions

0018933

21

ROLL BRID DAT

E.C.R.T.T.R.E.S.T.R.EO.THL D.A.T.A.S.

in the atmosphere will alter the falling rates of the particles. Corrections for these effects can be made when adequate meteorological data are available.

The local fallout as defined here will be down in 4.5 days or less, leaving aloft an aggregate of particles ranging from about 25-micron diameter down to submicron size. For small shots the majority of this will be in the troposphere but for megaton-range yields a large proportion will be deposited in the stratosphere. Hence, in discussing worldwide fallout, it is desirable to consider it as subdivided into two classes identified as tropospheric, or intermediate, fallout and stratospheric, or delayed, fallout (Reference 23).

The material left in the troposphere is thought to remain aloft up to forty days and to circle the earth a few times before reaching ground level. It deposits in relatively narrow bands, centered on the detonation latitude, with little evidence of diffusion across the stable air barrier located in the troposphere north of the equator. It is probably brought down largely by the scavenging effect of rainfall or other precipitation (Reference 23).

These particles which do not fall out within the first few weeks will remain suspended in the atmosphere for a prolonged period — a matter dyrendum the little die elliptic i i of around seven years on the average. This material originates exclusive-

tomic Erergy Act of 1954

There of USSIC in

r

SEC-RETRESTRICTEDIDATE

ly in the stratosphere and the particle size, slthough not known, must be very small, probably less than 0.1 micron. It is distributed by the stratospheric winds in the east-west or west-stat direction, and there is also thought to be a slow circulation toward the poles. Movement into the troposphere can take place by slow settling or by seasonal changes in the altitude of the tropopluse. The exchange may be most prevalent at the break in the tropopluse near the middle latitudes. Once transfer from the stratosphere is completed, the material will be deposited relatively quickly in the same manner as intermediate fallout (Reference 23).

The 1.2.4 Procedures for the Determination of Fallout Partition. hezards of nuclear weapons testing are associated primarily with worldwide fallout, since local fallout can be controlled by selection of the test site and the proper winds aloft so that its area of deposition will be of However, local fallout has local minor consequence to the population of the world/ Introduction of radioecological consequences which are not neglibile and may spread our consideral nuclides, such as Sr⁹⁰, into the human environment via world-wide fallout ancar 81M Julde has a potential effect on the whole population and their significance has one been studied in great detail (Reference 24). As a result of these studies, June 110 it has been concluded that certain radionuclide levels at the earth's surface can be tolerated and that these levels can be maintained within acceptable limits by restrictions on the rate of nuclear testing. This is based on the concept that a condition of "equilibrium" is reached in the

LES.T.R.LC.T.

MICLEETT ACL O

HE LEAST I

28 .

stratosphere at which the rate of injection of radioactive bomb debris will be equal to the decay plus deposition rate. A concensus reached at the 1957 Congressional Committee hearings on fallout placed the permissible rate of testing at 2-10 MT, per year (Reference 24). The validity of such numbers depends in large part on the reliability of experimental determinations of the fraction of the weapon appearing in global fallout.

This fraction has usually been estimated indirectly by measuring the fallout in the local area and subtraction from unity. The methods used for the determination of local fallout have involved measurement of gamma ray field contours or representative sampling of the material arriving at the surface of the earth (References 25 and 26). The total amount of radioactive debris in the fallout area may be calculated if the relation between dose rate and surface density of radioactive material is known. Similarly, samples representing a known area of the fallout field may be analyzed for amount of weapon debris and all such areas summed to give the total local fallout. A combination of fallout sampling and analysis plus gamma radiation measurements has also been used (Reference 26).

These procedures are subject to a number of difficulties and uncertainties, not only with regard to making adequate sample collections and radiation field measurements, but also in data interpretation. The establishment of accurate gamma contours requires an extensive and costly

CECHER ESTRICTED DATA

field program since radiation intensity measurements must be made over areas up to tens of thousands of square miles. When the fillout is deposited mainly over the surface of the ocean, the original patterns are distorted continuously by settling of the particles and by ocean currents. The collection of samples at the earth's surface which are truly representative of the area sampled and free from collector bias presents problems which have not been fully solved to date.

Conversion of gamma intensity contour data to fraction of device requires knowledge of the relation of dose rate to fissions per unit area of the fallout field at one hour and of the gross radioactive decay rate. The decay rate varies with the device composition, environment and fractionation in a way which is not well understeed. Some uncertainty will always be present in local fallout determinations by this method when fractionation exists to an unknown degree, even though all the other quantities are known accurately.

Another procedure for the determination of fallout partition was originated by the University of California Radiation Laboratory based on the supposition that certain of the rare gas fission products remain throughout their lifetimes as free atoms unattached to surfaces (Reference 26). If this is true, they will not be removed from the cloud by the falling particles and may be considered as representative of the number of fissions remain-

S & C'R'B R. R. B S T. R. L.C. TVB D. D. A. T. A. T. P.

ing aloft for long periods.

In the application of this method, coincident samples of gas and particles are taken by an isokinetic collector during the first few hours of existence of the clouds. The nuclear aerosol is sucked through a filter to remove the suspended material and the particle-free gas is then pumped into a storage bottle. The number of fissions in the two samples is determined by analyzing the gas for 2.8-hour Kr^{88} and the solid for a representative muclide such as Mo⁹⁹.

The ratio of sample fissions calculated from a bound muclide to those from an unattached rare gas nuclide will give the fraction of the reference substance which is in the sampled portion of the cloud at the time of sampling. At a very early time, if no separation of gas and particles occurs, this ratio should be one. Later it would be expected to decrease as the falling particles remove the bound fission products. Hence, if the early ratio is one, the fraction of the material in world-wide fallout may be determined if the time is known at which particles having a falling velocity of 3 inches per second leave the sampling region, or if the ratio approaches a constant with time.

<u>1.2.5 Prior Estimates of Local Fallout</u>. Determinations of local fallout have been made at virtually all the nuclear weapons tests conducted by the United States. Estimates of the fraction of the radioactivity deposited locally have been made for Operations JANGLE (References 16, 23, 25,

26

S CONTRACTOR DATA

SECRET RESTRICTED ATL

27, 28), TUMBLER-SNAPPER (References 27, 16), UPSHOT-KNOTHOLE (References 16, 27), CASTLE (Reference 29, 30, 31, 32, 33), WIGWAM (Reference 34), TEAPOT (Reference 35), and REDWING (References 23, 36). A summary of values computed from gamma contours and/or area sampling covered a range from 0.2 to 0.6 (References 25, 26). Reexamination of the preliminary REDWING data by Tucker (Reference 37) gave higher figures in the range 0.65-0.70 for barge (water surface) shots and up to 0.85 for land surface shots.

Results by the UCRL cloud sampling method are also available from REDWING (Reference 26) for the ground shots Lacrosse, Mohawk, Zuni and Tewa (part land, part water), for the water surface shots Huron and Navaja and the high altitude air burst, Cherokee. In the first three events the ratio of solid-to-gas fissions was as low as 0.04. Values for Tewa were not much less than one but this was probably due to the low sampling altitudes relative to cloud height. The ratios for the barge shots were greater than 0.6 in all cases. For Shot Cherokee the only sample taken from the main body of the cloud gave a ratio-of onse. From the assumption that the ratio at early times in all cases is one, interpretation of these figures in terms of fallout distribution indicates that 90-95% of the activity came down locally for the land shots, 15-50% for the water shots, and easentially none for the high altitude air burst.

On 5-7 March 1957 a symposium was held at the Rand Corporation

F.D.D.A

32,

9 F C R F T B F ST B I C T F D D A TA

to summarize and evaluate work done on fallout partition up to that time (Reference 26). The conference concluded that the best generalization which could be reached on the basis of the data presented was an equal distribution of radioactivity between world wide and local fallout for both land and water defonations in the megator range.

1.2.6 World-wide Fallout. World-wide fallout has been of great concern to persons responsible for the conduct of weapons tests on account of the possible consequences attendant upon the global dispersal of radioactive substances. The dangers from external irradiation are generally believed to be of a minor nature, due to the low levels of activity involved, but the incorporation of nuclides into the human system through the usual biological channels introduces the possibility of long-term effects whose seriousness is not easily determined.

The local fallout from the tests at Eniwstok, as defined earlier, will settle out in the Pacific Ocean and hence will be of only indirect concern. However, the tropospheric and stratospheric fallout will come down over land areas. Careful consideration of the nuclides present in global fallout has indicated that Sr⁹⁰ is the one to be most feared due to its possible accumulation in the human skeleton and subsequent long-term izradiation of the hematopostic tissues (Reference 24). Consequently, a major part of the work done on world-ride fallout has been directed toward the esti-

mation of Sr⁹⁰. Measurements have been made to determine the existing levels at the earth's surface, the quantity stored in the stratesphere, and the deposition rate. Samples of fallout have been taken from the soil and vegetation, by gummed tape and pot-type collectors on the ground, and by air-filter samplers at the surface and in the tropesphere and stratesphere (References 8, 23, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45).

Based on this work, it was estimated in the fall of 1956 that the Sr⁹⁰ levels were about 22 mc/mi² in the midwestern section of the United States, 15-17 mc/mi² for similar latitudes elaewhere and perhaps 3-4 mc/mi² for the rest of the world (References 38, 39). The total amount in the stratespheric reservoir, if uniformly distributed over the area of the globe, would increase these figures by about 12 mc/mi². The deposition rate of the stored material was considered to be around 10% per annum. It was further estimated that if these levels were maintained for fifteen years the concentration in the human skeleton would be about 1% of the maximum permissible (Reference 24).

The quantity of radioactivity in the storage reservoir was estimated by summation of the contributions of all the bursts through Operation REDWING which have deposited debris in the stratosphere. The available fraction of the weapon was determined by subtracting the local and intermediate fallout from the total. The intermediate fallout is thought to

S. F. G. R. F. F. F. F. E. S. F. R. H. G. S. F. DEDERTS

contain 1-5% of the weapon for megaton-range detonations (References 16, 46, 47). Determinations of this quantity by a world-wide network of -stations for shots Mike and King of Operation IVY gave a figure of 2% (Reference 47).

Some data on Sr⁹⁰ concentrations in the stratosphere has been obtained from filter samples collected on flights of the General Mills high-altitude balloons. This work was part of a continuing program for sampling the stratosphere along the 80th meridian (Reference 48).

1.2.7 Fractionation Effects (1): Observations at Other Tests. The occurrence of fractionation is manifested by differences in radiochemical composition, decay rate or energy spectra among various samples of fallout taken at different times or locations in the contaminated region. Observations of some degree of fractionation have been made at many different detonations. As expected, fission product nuclides such as Sr⁸⁹, Sr^{\$}, Ca¹³⁷, or Ba¹⁴⁰, which have rare-gas ancestors with hilf-lives of a fraction of a minute or longer, are frequently found among the products which are most severely fractionated with respect to the bulk matrix material, which is The location of the burst is also an always a refractory material. impertant factor. Separation of the nuclides from one another appears to be most prenounced in underground or surface shots (References 49, 50), generally less for a water surface (Reference 51) and still smaller for balloon, high tower and air detonations (References 51, 52). Relatively

30

THE RESIDENCE DECEMBER ACTION STATE

35.

SENCREET-RESTRICTED-DATA

in water samples little fractionation was found for the one device detonated in deep water (Reference 34).

At Operation GREENHOUSE it was noted that the exponent of the beta decay curve increased from 0.95 to 1.3 with median particle size for samples taken from the clouds at Dog, Easy and Able shots. This indicates that the close-in particles are enriched in fast decaying components with respect to the more distant fallout (Reference 53).

For JANGLE surface shots, pronounced depletion of chains 89, 115, 111 and 140 referred to Mo⁹⁹ was observed in comparing long-range with local fallout samples. Chains 144 and 95 were not fractionated. Still more extensive nuclide separation was found for the underground shot with all the above chains showing depletion in the crater area (Reference 53).

On Shot 6 at TUMBLER-SNAPPER the gross decay exponent decreased steadily with distance from ground zero up to seventy miles (Reference 53).

Radiochemical data from CASTLE Brave showed fractionation of Sr⁹⁰ and Ba¹⁴⁰ with respect to Mo⁹⁹, but none for Ce¹⁴⁴ (Reference 53). In the land Shots Zuni and Tewa of Operation REDWING, depletion of Ca¹³⁷, Sr⁹⁰, and Te¹³² was found in the close-in fallout with maximum factors of 100, 13 and 7 (Reference 54). These depletion factors became smaller with increasing distance from the shot point. Fractionation of the SELERE BATER SELERIFERINDED A PA

fallout from the barge shots Flathead and Navajo was much less and variations in abundance were not greater than a factor of two (Reference 54). Analytical data on cloud samples from these four events corroborated the fallout results (References 50 and 51).

Some radiochemical analyses have been performed on particles of different sizes from certain balloon shots (Reference 52). In Boltzmann of Operation PLUMBBOB, both the Sr⁸⁹: Mo⁹⁹ and Sr⁹⁰: Mo⁹⁹ ratios were a factor of two greater in 22-micron particles than in 137-micron particles. Enrichment of Sr⁸⁹/was also found in two other balloon shots, Hood and Wilson

1.2.8 Fractionation Effects (II): Relations among the R-Values for Several Radionuclides. As noted above, some scattered observations on fractionation were reported from the earlier tests, but it was not until Operation REDWING that enough data became available to investigate the separation of various nuclides from one another in any detail. At event Tewa of this operation, six particle samples were collected from different locations in the cloud and subsequently analyzed for around thirty nuclides. From this work, relations among the R-values for the products became apparent which seem to be of significance for understanding the fallout formation process (Reference 55). The R-values for the substances studied (zormalized to give unit intercept on the axis of ordinates) were plotted

32

A HIGE MERCENERS

36,

against the R-value for Eu¹⁵⁶ and a series of straight lines resulted with slopes ranging from positive to negative values. Positive slopes indicated a simultaneous enrichment of the cloud particles in europium and the product nuclide, whereas negative slopes showed that as the particles became richer in europium they were more and more depleted in the product nuclide. Products having rare-gas and alkali metal precursors had the steepest negative slopes while U, Np and Pb had small negative slopes. The more refractory oxide elements Nd, Be, Zr and Nb had positive slopes and those elements such as Ca, which showed no fractionation with respect to europium, had infinite positive slopes. The results are consistent with the view that those products having rare-gas or alkali metal ancestors at the time of condensation will concentrate in the smaller particles which have a larger surface-te-volume ratio.

Similar relationships have been found for several high yield air bursts using Ba¹⁴⁰ as the secondary reference nuclide and Mo⁹⁹ as the primary reference nuclide (the primary reference nuclide is the substance used as reference in calculating the R-values; the secondary reference nuclide is the substance used as abscissa in the R-value plots). In this reference system, Ag¹¹¹, U²³⁷, Cd¹¹⁵, Cs¹³⁶, Np²³⁹, Y⁹¹, and Sr⁸⁹ had approximately unit positive slopes while Zr⁹⁷, Ce¹⁴⁴, Pu²³⁹ and the rare earths had average negative slopes of 1.5. For these shots there was

33

A MILE PRESERVENCE D. D.A.T.A

EDRE TREGTREGTREDED ATTACK

evidence that the nuclidez in the larger particles $(3-12 \mu)$ were fractionated, but these in particles smaller than 1 μ were not (Reference 56).

This method of data analysis has been shown to be valid regardless of the secondary reference nuclide, the primary reference nuclide and the reference event (Reference 6).

1.3 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

1.3.1 Outline of the Program. The foregoing discussion indicates that further progress in the development of a realistic fallout model will require an improved knowledge of the structure of nuclear clouds with respect to the vertical and radial distribution of particle size and radioactivity within the mushroom. Quantitative data on the activity associated with particles in different size groups is also needed for estimation of the partition of the weapon between local and world-wide fallout. Project 2.8 was established to attempt to obtain such information from certain shots at Optration HARDTACK. It was planned to explore the cloud structure by means of air sampling rockets and to use both the rocket samples and also air craft samples collected from the cloud with the UCRL coincident sampler for determination of the fallout partition. Other aircraft flying at 1000 fast were scheduled to collect fallout samples to be used for the determination of the effect of particle size on fractionation and for correboration

S. B. C. R. D. Turner, B. State Beater (Strating) Stiller (State)

of the radionuclide composition of local fallout as determined from the rocket samples. The influence of the environment on fallout partition was to be investigated by participation in events over land and water surfaces.

The basic hypothesis on which the determination of fallout partition by the measurement of relative enrichment is based is that the increase of a volatile material with respect to a refractory material (e.g., Kr⁸⁸ with respect to Mo⁹⁹) occurs principally as a result of fallout of the refractory material (i.e., the only force producing separation is gravitation). If this hypothesis is correct, then the Mo⁹⁹ left in the cloud region sampled compared to the Kr⁸⁸ may be interpreted as the fraction of refractory debris which will be distributed in "world-wide" fallout. This fraction is given by

$$y = \frac{[R^{99}(88)]_{E}}{[R^{99}(88)]_{c}}$$

If, however, other forces operate on the particles, particularly centrifugal forces which exist during the initial phase of cloud rise or turbulent forces which may exist for several hours due to temperature inequalities, the possibility exists that separation of gases or small particles from large particles may occur without requiring real fallout of refractory material. It is also possible that separation of the more volatile products from the less volatile may occur in the gas phase as a function of altitude in the cloud without requiring separation of large particles from small particles or particles from permanent gases. If these processes occur, even a large enrichment of volatile material near the top of the cloud would not necessarily be attributable principally to fallout.

To help determine whether these alternative processes are important, it is considered necessary to obtain very early data for R values of relatively volatile

35

A LEAST AND AND AND AND A DEAL AND A
S the Harles and the Rest of the Andrew State and the State of the Sta

fission products in the cloud. If it can be established that the very early distribution is normal and then departs from the normal pattern at a rate consistent with the fallout interpretation, other separative forces might be considered unimportant.

<u>1.3.2 Rocket Sampling of Clouds</u>. Experimental determination of the distribution of activity within the cloud requires the collection of a group of samples at different vertical distances along paths nearly parallel to the axis and at various radial distances. The almost-vertical flight path requirement necessitates the use of sample collectors which are propelled by rockets.

The rockets used by the project had a rather complex structure (see Chapter 2) but from the standpoint of particle collection their important features were the sampling head and the electronic programmer. The sampling head was designed to separate the particles collected into two groups having falling rates corresponding to local and world-wide fallout as already defined. The separation was to be attained by the action of aerodynamic forces in the sampler similar in effect to those experienced by particles falling through the atmosphere in the gravitational field of the earth. The function of the electronic programmer was to open the head at predetermined positions in the flight path so that samples could be collected from different portions of the cloud.

35(a)

0018933

E-G-R-E-T-R. S-T-R-I-G-T-E.D-D-A-T-A-

It was planned to fire a total of eighteen rockets on each shot at about $H \neq 10$ minutes from launching platforms spaced at various distances from ground zero. Two rockets were to be fired along each trajectory, one programmed to collect a sample from the base to the top of the debris and the other to collect from the top half of the cloud only.

1.3.3 Aircraft Sampling of Clouds. A condition necessary for use of the gas-particle sampling technique for the determination of device partition is that the samples be collected from a region which is losing material by fallout but not receiving particles from any other section of the cloud. The portions of the cloud which are suitable for this type of sampling are dependent on the wind structure existing at the time of burst. For one type of structure which occurs fairly frequently at the proving grounds, the top and bottom parts of the cloud are blown off rapidly in different directions leaving a layer approximately one mile thick that experiences only light and variable winds, Hence this stratum, which is located between 50,000 and 60,000 feet, will soon be isclated from the rest of the cloud and may remain fairly closely over ground zero for a day or more. It is called the light and variable wind layer" and is satisfactory for coincident sampling since it can not receive fallout from higher cloud levels.

001893**3**

SECRETER STRICTED DATA

In cases where the stratum is not well defined, sample collections can be made from the top of the cloud provided this can be reached and followed by the sampling aircraft or from a location selected to minimize the feed-in of fallout from higher altitudes.

The theory of this technique has been discussed under section 1.2.4 and the sampling equipment is described in Chapter 2. The operation plan was to fly through the light and variable layer at several intervals between $H \neq 2$ and $H \neq 24$ hours with B57D aircraft equipped both with the coincident samplers and with wing tank particle collectors. The coincident samples were to be analyzed for Kr^{88} and Mo^{99} to determine the fallout partition (see 1.2.4) and the wing tank samples for ten radionuclides to investigate fractionation with particle size.

1.3.4 Aircraft Sampling of Fallout. The fallout sampling part of the program was intended to provide information supplementary to that obtained from the rocket and aircraft cloud sampling experiments. WB-50 aircraft were scheduled to fly at an altitude of 1000 feet and to collect fallout at various times between $H \neq 4$ and $H \neq 24$ hours along height lines which would correspond to the cloud level (ca 55,000 feet) sampled by the B57D's. Since the cloud is an extended source of fallout, the term "heightline sampling", as used here, signifies the sampling of a band of material centered on the geometrical height line and having a band width approxi-

.

mately equal to the diameter of the cloud.

The wind structure described in the preceding section on the formation of the light and variable layer also leads to isolation of the 55,000 foot height line along the eastern periphery of the fallout curtain. This situation is advantageous for height line sampling since the aircraft may proceed westward from a position east of the fallout area and collect the first fallout encountered. The samples should contain 55,000-foot fallout alone, uncontaminated by material from the rest of the cloud.

Other types of wind structure will probably not be as favorable for height line sampling and the fallout collected is likely to contain particles originating from different levels in the cloud.

As one proceeds outward from ground zero along a height line, the particle size of the fallout decreases and the time of arrival increases. However, low altitude sampling at a given location should provide a sample containing particles of relatively uniform size. # Hence, by making a series of collections along a height line at different distances from the shot point, advantage can be taken of particle size separation by natural fallout processes. The WB-50 operations were arranged to utilize this situation to obtain a set of samples suitable for an investigation of size-dependent

* Uzed synonomously with falling rate.

38

Atomic Encrevence of 1954

E-O-R-ET-WRSE-S-T-R-1-G-T-ED-D-1-J-A-

0018933

properties.

It was planned to use the radiochemical data from these samples to corroborate the composition of local fallout as determined from the rocket experiments, to investigate fractionation with particle size, and to compare the composition of local fallout with world wide fallout. It can also be used for determination of device partition if the fallout is shown to be highly depleted in a particular fission product since the enrichment of the debris remaining aloft in this fission product will then be related to the fraction of the debris which has fallen out, in much the same way as has already been described for interpretation of the enrichment of a gaseous fission product in the cloud with respect to particulate debris.

1.3.5 Selection of Radionuclides. The radionuclides chosen for determination from the particle samples were those of greatest concern in world-wide fallout, namely Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} , plus a sufficient number of others to provide basic data for further investigation of fractionation. In the latter category were Sr^{89} , Y^{91} , Mo⁹⁹, Cs^{136} , Ce^{144} , Eu¹⁵⁶ and U²³⁷. The members of this group existed in a variety of forms, ranging from gaseous to relatively non-volatile species, during the period of condensation from the fireball. Ca^{45} was determined in conjunction with elemental analyses for Ca and Na to help in tracing the behavior of the environmental material which forms the major part of the fallout particles.

Analyses for I¹³¹, which were tentatively planned originally, were not carried out due to the limited analytical personnel available, the uncertainties of sample collection for this muclide and the relatively lesser interest in its ultimate

fate.

39

CHAPTER II

PROCEDURE

2.1 SHOT PARTICIPATION

S-Roto L-R-

The project initially planned to participate in Shots Koa, a megatonrange land-surface burst, and Walnut, a water-surface burst. Due to apparent contamination of the Koa cloud samples by debris from Shot Fir, participation was later extended to include Shot Oak, a high-yield water-land burst fired over the lagoon reef. Important device information is given in Table 2.1. The project rockets participated during Shots Koa and Walnut and were also fired during Cactus and Yellowwood for system check and nose cone recovery practice. Aircraft were flown during Koa, Walnut and Cak.

TABLE 2.1

DEVICE INFORMATION

	KOA	WALNUT	OAK
Total Yield, Mt.: Fission Yield, Mt.:	1.31 / 0.08	DELETED	8.9 <u>4</u> 0.6
Location	Site Gene	Near Site	4 miles south
	bite delle	Janet	of Site Alice
Shot time	0630 M	0630 M	0730 M
	13 May 195 8	15 June 1958	29 June 1958
Shot type	Land-Surface	Water-Surface;	Water-Land Sur-
		fired from a	face; fired from
		barge in deep	an LCU anchored
		water	over the lagoon r
	· 40		in 15 feet of wate
		THE SHE SHE	F.D.D.A.T.A

Atomic Encrementer 1954.

2.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The instrumentation for this project falls into two general classes: rocket-borne and aircraft-borne cloud samplers. Two types of aircraft, B-57D's and WB-50's, were used.

2.2.1 Rocket-Borne Cloud Sampler. The rocket, a 20 foot long unit, consisted of an air-sampling nose section, a two-stage propulsion unit and various items of auxiliary equipment (Reference 57).

The air-sampling diffuser of the nose section was 36 inches long from the intake orifice to the filter. An additional 32 inches of length behind the filter was occupied by exhaust ports and auxiliary equipment. The extreme forward part of the rocket was a conical section 5 inches long which sealed the intake orifice prior to the time when sampling was begun. The orifice of the diffuser was 2 inches in diameter and the filter was 8-1/2 inches in diameter. An expansion from 2 inches to 8-1/2 inches in diameter in a length of 36 inches gave an expansion angle of 10 degrees, the maximum at which the flow would not separate from the diffuser walls. The filter was an 8 inch circle of matted cellulose fiber coated with stearic acid to help retain the particles. It was supported by a wire retaining screen. The inside wall of the diffuser was in the form of a revolved segment of a circle 250 inches in radius and was parallel to the axis of the rocket at the orifice. Particles entering the sampling section were decelerated from about twice the sonic



velocity to subsonic by passage through a shock front which formed near the throat of the diffuser. Following this, they were subjected to a force field of such a nature that the smaller particles were impelled toward peripheral areas of the collecting filter to a greater extent than the larger particles. The diffuser was designed to effect a resolution of particles having average settling rates greater or less than 3 in./sec. in the normal atmosphere (Reference 57).

A light skin was wrapped around the outside of the diffuser to fair up the external shape of the nose cone.

The propulsion section contained primary and sustainer motors, both of which were solid-fuel units about 6 inches in diameter with burning times of 6 seconds. The sustainer motor was ignited shortly before the start of sampling and provided sufficient thrust to maintain the rocket speed at about Mach 2 during passage through the cloud.

Items of auxiliary equipment included explosive squibs, electronic timing circuitry, a parachute system, a closure system for the sampling section, a radio beacon and a dye marker. Foamed plastic inserts were fitted into the nose sections to provide additional buoyancy.

The explosive squibs were used to remove the conical nose tip, thereby opening the sampling orifice, and to jettison the propulsion unit. The electronic timing circuitry initiated the opening of the orifice, disconnection of

TECRET RESTRICTED.D.A.T.A.

the propulsion unit, ejection of the parachute, closure of the sampling section and activation of the radio beacon. The parachute system consisted of a pilot chute, a pilot chute shroud cutter and the main canopy. The pilot chute was withdrawn from its compartment when the propulsion section was jettisoned, but remained attached by shrouds to the nose section until the latter had slowed down to a speed which would not cause damage to the main canopy. At this time the shrouds were cut and the main canopy was withdrawn from the nose section by the pilot chute shrouds, which were attached to a bag containing the large parachute. The front closure of the sampling unit, made by a ball joint, and the aft closure, consisting of a cone and "O-ring" seal, were closed after sampling. The radio beacon was activated at launch time so that search craft equipped with radio direction finders could locate the nose sections.

Figure 2.1 shows a complete rocket on a launcher. Part A is the primary motor, Part B the sustainer motor, Part C the parachute compartment, Part D the electronics compartment and Part E the air sampling nose section. Figure 2.2 gives the important dimensions of the diffuser and filter in the air sampling nose section. Figure 2.3 is a view of a battery of six rockets assembled for firing.

2.2.2 Aircraft-Borne Samplers. Three different types of equipment were



Ĺ

Figure 2.1 Air-Sampling.Rocket



Figure 2.2 Diffuser Section of Air-Sampling Rocket

44

49%



()

Figure 2.3 Battery of Rockets Ready for Firing



Figure 2.4 B-57 Gross Particulate Sampler

ہ ک

E CREEKER-E-G-T-R-I-G-T-E-D-A-T-A-

utilized to obtain the samples discussed in Sections 1.3.3 and 1.3.4. Units of the kind illustrated by Figure 2.4 were used for collection of the cloud particle samples needed for the radiochemical work. These samplers were stainless steel shells of parabolic shape fitted with intake butterfly valves which were open only during the sampling runs. They were installed at the forward end of both the right and left wing fuel tanks of the B-57D⁴s. The particles were collected on a 24 inch filter paper which was supported by a retaining screen located near the aft end of the unit.

The coincident sampler was constructed in such a way that both the gas and particle samples would be taken from the same volume of the cloud. Air was drawn through a dessicant section and a filter section by a circulating pump and then forced under pressure into a sample bottle. Figure 2.5 shows the intake and dessicant-filter sections and Figure 2.6 is a photograph of the compressor pumps and gas bottles. These samplers were mounted on both sides of the B-57D fuselage toward the rear of the aircraft.

The WB-50's used for the fallout sampling were equipped with the AFOAT-1 standard E-1 filter assembly. Figure 2.7 is a view of a WB-50 with the filter foil installed on top, nearly over the rear scanner's position. Figure 2.8 shows the filter screen removed from the foil with a filter paper in one side. The foil was sealed by sliding doors in front and

0018933



(.

Figure 2.5 Intake and Filter Section, B-57 Gas Sampler



Figure 2.6 Pumps and Gas Bottles, B-57 Gas Samplers



():

Figure 2.7 Filter Foil Installed on Top of B-50





SECREETER. D.S. D.B. LEGATE D. D. D. LANA

0018933

back of the filter screen except during the sampling periods.

2.2.3 Discussion of Possible Errors in Sampling. Polydisperse aerosols contain an aggregate of particles whose sizes are arranged in accordance with a characteristic frequency distribution. When the aerosol is sampled under ideal conditions, the ratios of the numbers of particles in the various size ranges will be preserved unchanged in the collector. However, a departure from the initial size distribution may be encountered if the collecting device has a dimensional bias (non-isokinetic condition), or if some of the particles are broken up during the sampling operation.

Isokinetic sampling conditions will be achieved with a filtering device moving through the aerosol at subsonic speeds if the air velocity into the intake of the filter is identical with the flow rate past the outside. As used in Project 2.8, both the wing tank and coincident samplers were close to isokinetic since the velocity ratios were respectively 0.8 (or greater) and calculated 0.7-0.9. However, in a few cases, the/velocity ratios for the coincident units were much less, due to halfunction of the sampling equipment (see Appendix B). The E-1 sampler used on the WB-50's was poor isokinctically, but this was considered to be immaterial for height line sampling where the particles in a given region should be fairly uniform in size. Samplers, such as the project rockets, which move at supersonic speed with respect to the aerosol are expected from aerodynamic theory to be unbiased.

CRETERESTR

In the rocket samplers some breakup of the fallout particles was thought to be likely in passing through the shock front in the diffuser throat. A series of experiments carried out by NRDL on the shock tube at the University of California Engineering Experiment Station indicated that coral fallout grains were not fractured by Mach 2 shock waves (Reference 58). Impact with the filter is another possible cause of particle breakup in all the sampling devices, but little or nothing is known about this effect.

0018933

2.3 DESCRIPTION OF FIELD OPERATIONS

2.3.1 Meteorology. It was indicated in section 1.3.3 that samples to be used for the determination of fallout partition by the UCRL method should be collected from the light and variable layer, if well defined, or from higher locations in the cloud. The cloud heights and wind structure in the upper atmosphere are therefore important characteristics to consider in devising operational plans. It is known from previous work that the clouds rise to a maximum altitude in the first few minutes and then settle back to a "stabilized" level. Based on height-yield curves derived from photographic data on earlier shots (Reference 21), it was estimated that the stabilized altitudes would be around 72,000 feet for Koa and Walnut and 99,000 feet for Oak (Reference 59). The altitudes observed by project aircraft were considerably lower (Reference 60). A radar record for shot Koa indicated that the cloud rose to 72,000 feet at 5 minutes and then settled rapidly (Reference 61).

The light and variable layer existed for all the shots, being possibly

DATA

SDOTRETT REPOSAL ROTTED DADA

best defined for Koa where it circulated over the atoff for at least a day. For Koa and Walnut the altitude of the layer coincided quite closely with the top of the cloud, whereas for Oak it was some 20,000 feet below the top which was blown off rapidly by the strong easterly winds. Since the B-57D samples were taken from this stratum in each case, the criterion of sampling from a region which would not be receiving fallout from any other source was easily satisfied.

Some altitude data taken in part from the wind and temperature tables in Appendix E are given in the Table 2..2.

TABLE 2.2

Approximate Altitude in Feet

	Koa	Walnut	Oak
Tropopause	57,000	54,000	50,000
Light & Variable Layer	60,000 \$	55,00 0	55,00 0
Cloud Top, Expected*	72,00 0	72,00 0	99,00 0
Cloud Top, Observed	65,00 0	61,000	70,000 - 75,000
Sampling Flights	60,30 0	56,500 ·	56,30 0
	•		

* (Reference 59)

The suitability of the wind structures for fallout sampling along height lines can be most readily visualized by reference to the plan view, wind velocity hodographs at shot time which are reproduced in Figures 2.9, 2.10



C

Ć







-

and 2.11. The Koa hodograph shows that for this event the winds were ideal for height line sampling since material falling from the light and variable layer would be clearly isolated from the rest of the fallout. On Walnut, an overlap of particles originating in the cloud at 40,000 feet and at higher levels would be anticipated. For Oak the samples collected at 1000 feet would contain material which came from several different elevations in the cloud.

2.3.2 Koa Event. No rocket samples were collected from Shot Koa. In preshot planning it was intended that a salvo of 18 rockets would be fired into the cloud, 6 each from Sites Wilma, Sally and Mary. The firing line to Site Wilma failed on the day before the shot and could not be repaired before evacuation. Firing circuits to Sites Sally and Mary were intact at shot time and a firing signal was transmitted to these sites at H 4 7 minutes, but no rockets fired. Failure appears to have been caused by the heavy current drain by several launcher orienting motors dropping the main power supply voltage to a point where it was insufficient to operate critical relays in the local launch programming equipment. Thereafter, launching operations were programmed so that only a single launcher motor would be operating at one time.

Five samples were taken from the cloud by B-57D aircraft at 3-1/2, t, 8, 11 and 28 hours post-shot time (See Table B1). A flight scheduled for

54



(

Ĺ

Figure 2.11 Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Oak

55

60%

0018933

13-14 hours had to be cancelled due to rain and atmospheric turbulence. The first four samples were collected in about 1/2 hour each and the last sample required 2-1/2 hours. The wing tank samplers functioned on each flight, but there were no gas samples on the last three runs due to a failure of the compressor pumps on the coincident sampling units.

Samples of material falling from the 60,000 foot layer were collected at an altitude of 1000 feet at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 hours after shot time by a WB-50 aircraft. The fallout was encountered on a bearing of 50-60 degrees at 28, 59, 88, 109 and 131 miles from ground zero. A second WB-50 collected one 1000-foot sample at H \neq 6 hours on a bearing of 20 degrees at 42 miles from ground zero. It is thought that this material came from about 45,000 feet. A third WB-50 mission was flown at 0700 the next day to 300 miles on bearing 58 degrees based on an extrapolation of the previous contacts. From there, the aircraft was directed to 225 miles, bearing 55 degrees, then to 200 miles, bearing 40 degrees, and finally to 400 miles, 60 degrees, but no fallout was encountered. The aircraft was released after 6 hours for a weather mission.

Shot Fir, was fired at Bikini on the day preceding Koa and the clouds from the two bursts rose to approximately the same height (65,000 feet). On the day following Koa there was a deposition of fallout in the Eniwetok area and in the afternoon the gamma radiation background on

0018933

ECRET.R.E.S.T.R.LOIFEDND

Site Elmer rose to 25-30 mr./hr. The Fallout Prediction Unit was not able to establish definitely the origin of this material, but felt that there was some reason to think that it had come from Shot Fir. After arrival of the Koa samples at LASL, a dispatch was received in the field indicating that the cloud, and possibly the fallout samples, were heavily contaminated with Fir debris. The nature of the evidence was not known at the time, DELETED

DELETED

DELETED Examination of the wind structures existing during the period of the Fir and Koa detonations indicated a pessibility of some contamination of Koa fallout by Fir debrie, but no mechanism was apparent that could lead to heavy contamination.

When the radiochemical data became available it was found that all the Koa cloud samples contained some material from Fir, but not enough to appreciably alter the significance of the results (see Chapter 3).

2.3.3 Walnut Event. It was planned to project a total of 10 rockets into the cloud, 4 each from Sites Mary and Sally and 2 from Site Wilma. The launchers on Mary were set for automatic positioning by blue-box signal, whereas on Sally and Wilma the quadrant elevations and azimuths were preset. After the shot the firing circuits to Sally and Wilma were intact, but the line to Mary was open. A firing signal was sent at H \neq 10 minutes and

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE AVER

the rockets on Sally and Wilma were launched, but the obscuring cloud cover prevented observation of their trajectories. The rockets on Mary did not launch and later inspection showed that one launcher was inoperative, one elevated without rotating and two elevated and rotated. Two nose cones from the Sally rockets were recovered by boat and the others were lost. The closures on the cones recovered were intact but water had leaked in. There was a small amount of activity in the water and on the filter and the filter sample was returned to the ZI for analysis. It was identified by the name Whiskey 6 (see Table B.3).

6 samples were taken from the cloud at times between 1-1/2 hours and 26 hours post shot time (see Table E3). Both the wing tank and the coincident samplers were operative on each flight.

In preparing the height line flight program for this shot, it was intended that 1 WB-50 would collect 1000 foot samples at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 hours with a second WB-50 standing by on the ground to take over the mission, if necessary. No sampling flight was scheduled for $D \neq 1$ day. The first aircraft encountered fallout at $H \neq 4$ hours on a bearing of 320 degrees at a distance of 42 miles from surface zero and a sample was collected. Due to deposition of damp fallout material on the nose of the aircraft, z dose of 1.5 r. (read on an electronic integrating dosimeter) was accumulated at the bombardier's position during the sampling run. The

S.E.G.R.E.T.R.E.S.T.R.I.G.T.E.D.D.A.T.A

dose was continuing to rise at the rate of 50 mr./min. and the radiological advisor aboard decided to disontinue the mission and return to base. The standby aircraft took off and was flown to a point on a bearing of 330 degrees at a distance of 120 miles from surface zero. A H / 8 hours the aircraft searched on course 225 degrees, but no fallout was encountered. At H / 10 hours the active fallout area was reentered at bearing 283 degrees, 140 miles from surface zero, and a sample taken. At H / 13 hours a third sample was collected at bearing 278 degrees, 150 miles from surface zero.

2.3.4 Oak Event. There was no rocket participation during Shot Oak. Circumstances leading to the discontinuation of the rocket sampling portion of the project are outlined in Section 2.3.5 and Appendix A.

5 samples were taken from the cloud by B-57D aircraft between 2 and 26 hours post shot time (see Tables B5 & B6). Both the wing tank and coincident samplers were operative on all flights.

A WB-50 aircraft collected samples from the northeastern edge of the fallout pattern at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 11-1/2 hours after the detonation. The fallout was encountered on a bearing of 300-310 degrees at 65, 93, 125, 160 and 187 miles from surface zero. The operation progressed without incident, due mainly to the experience gained by the participating personnel

59

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT. A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT. A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT. A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY AND A CONTR

on the first two shots.

2.3.5 Rocket Development. The project cloud sampling rocket (see Section 2.2.1) was a new one of complex design. The main motor had been used previously on the ASP (atmospheric sounding projectile) and the sustainer motor on the RTV (reentry test vehicle), but the nose cone and associated equipment had not been used as a component of a rocket before. Development work on a similar sampling device had been done during Operation Plumbbob, and at the end of the operation a satisfactory unit for land recovery had evolved. After Plumbbob, Project 21.3, Task Unit 2, was set up for the purpose of developing a sea recovery version of the rocket for Operation Hardtack. When Project 2.2 was established, the existing rocket contracts were extended to provide additional units for use on this program. Escause of the experimental nature of the rocket, the sponsors of this work, UCRL, assessed the probability of obtaining any rocket data as being of the order of 50%.

The development problems were the responsibility of Project 21.3, but a review of their work at the Eniwetok Proving Grounds is of interest since a large portion of Project 2.8 was directly dependent on the availability of a suitable rocket-borne cloud sampler. This review will also serve to provide an explanation of the circumstances which led to the cancellation of the rocket experiment prior to Shot Oak.

60

Atomic Energy AFL MUSA

0018933

ሬ ડ

SHE CREETE HAT SHEDDE CHEDING THE

Notes on the developmental rocket firings and tests are outlined in Appendix A. Details of the firings on Koa and Walnut, which have been given in Sections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3, are not repeated.

2.3.6 Aircraft Samples. The B-57D aircraft used for the cloud sampling work were under the control of a LASL representative. The person responsible for these collections communicated with the aircraft by normal voice radio from the Air Operation Center on Site Fred. The fallout samples were taken by WB-50 aircraft controlled by an NRDL representative. They were directed from the Air Weather Central on Site Elmer using CW radio communication. The transmitters used by the Air Weather Central operated on a long wave length, thereby making it possible to maintain radio contact with the WB-50's at long ranges and low altitudes.

Estimated coordinates for each sampling position on the height line flights were furnished by the Fallout Prediction Unit (FOPU). The initial 4 hour position prediction was based solely on the wind data available at shot time, but contacts made by the sampling aircraft, plus additional wind data, assisted in preparing the later estimates. Interchange of information between FOPU and the Air Weather Central was maintained throughout the sampling flights.

The FOPU predictions were generally quite accurate with respect to

61

Atomic=Entroy: Act of 1954

S-E-G-Rob T-R-D-D-A.T-A.-

radial distance from ground zero, but the wind information was not always. adequate to determine the angular position. For example, on Koa the estimated height line bearing was 0 degrees but the sampling aircraft encountered fallout at a polar angle of 50 degrees. For Walnut the 4-hour sampling position given was quite accurate, but the later curving of the height line toward the west could not be predicted. Sampling position estimates were the best of all on Oak and even the most distant points were predicted within 2 degrees in bearing and 3 miles in distance.

Tables B1-B6 give a summary of all the samples collected by aircraft for the project. It will be noted that in addition to the cloud samples taken from the light and variable layer, there were several samples on each shot from lower altitudes. Analytical data for these samples is included since they give information on the variation of cloud composition with altitude (see Appendix D).

2.4 PARTICLE WORK

Some investigation of particle characteristics was carried out for all the cloud and height line samples from Shot Koa which were large enough to work with. Approximately one quarter of each filter paper from the cloud samples, and one section from the E-l sampler, were shipped to UCRL by the first flyaway following the shot. On each sample the filter



paper was removed by burning off in a stream of atomic oxygen from a gas discharge generator. The maximum temperature reached during burnoff was around 200° C. The weight of material recovered varied from 50 mg. to about 4.5 gm.

At UCRL some of the cloud samples were separated into coarse and fine fractions using a Bahco centrifuge and fall rate distribution curves were determined for the two fractions with the micromerograph. Fall rate data were also obtained for all the height line samples and in several cases the specific activity-fall rate curves were determined for cloud and fallout samples. In operating the micromerograph the weight could either be recorded continuously or in 16 increments by means of individual pans on a rotating turntable.

Two of the height line samples and three cloud samples, separated into coarse and fine fractions with the Bahco, were transmitted from UCRL to NRDL for examination. The chemical substances present in these samples were identified with the polarizing microscope and by X-ray diffraction, and the particle size distributions determined by microscopic observation. A binocular microscope fitted with ocular micrometers containing a linear scale was used for the particle work. Each scale division of the micrometer represented 15 microns for the magnification used (100X). A portion of the sample was placed on a microscope slide and tapped gently

> 63 SEACTOR DESCRIPTION DATA A DIMO DATES ACTION 1954

to disperse the particles. Traverses were made along the slide from one extreme edge of the dispersion to the other and every particle within the micrometer scale was sized and typed. Generally, several appropriately spaced traverses were taken. The particles were sized in terms of maximum diameter and typed by the conventional classification of irregular, spherical and agglomerated. Diameters were measured to the nearest one-half scale division and particles less than a half unit were ignored. Particles adhering to each other were sized individually, if possible, or otherwise not taken into account.

Particle characteristics, fall-rate and size distribution curves are given in Appendix C. No particle work was done on the samples from Oak and Walnut.

2.5 SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND RADIOCHEMICAL PROCEDURES

Radiochemical analyses were carried out on the gross particulate cloud samples from the wing tank collectors, on size-separated cloud samples, on the gas-particulate samples from the coincident units and on the fallout samples. The major part of the analytical work on the cloud and fallout particle samples was done by NRDL (some by LASL), while the gasparticle samples for the determination of fission ratios (Section 1.2.4) were analyzed at UCRL.

The gross particulate and fallout samples were shipped to NRDL on filter papers as collected in the field. The size-separated samples were prepared at UGRL by the oxygen burnoff and centrifuge technique described in Section 2.4, and then transmitted to NRDL. 2 particle groups were separated for the Koa and Oak samples and 3 for Walnut (see Appendix B).

At NRDL the samples were prepared for analysis by wet ashing with fuming HNO_3 and $HClO_4$ to destroy organic material, then fuming with HF to remove silica. The HF was expelled by again fuming with $HClO_4$ and the resulting solution was transferred to a volumetric flask and diluted to volume with 4N HCl. Aliquots of the HCl solutions were taken for the analyses. A total of 1040 radionuclide determinations and 41 elemental analyses (see Section 1.3.5) were performed at NRDL using the following procedures:

1. Elemental Na and Ca were determined with the flame photometer using a matrix very similar to the constituents of coral.

2. Mo⁹⁹ was determined by either of two methods, depending on the age of the sample. A carrier-free anion exchange method (Reference 67) was used for fresh samples, while a modified precipitation method (Reference 68) was used for older samples.

3. Eu¹⁵⁶, Y⁹¹, and Ce¹⁴⁴ were measured by a cation exchange pro-

65

S ELCORD DE FRANK REFERENCE HERE CONTRACTOR DE LE ANTA

cedure after preliminary separation of the rare earth group by precipitation reactions and anion exchange (Reference 62).

4. Ca⁴⁵ was separated by a procedure using precipitation reactions. Ba and Sr were removed by precipitation as the nitrates using fuming HNO₃ under controlled conditions. The Ca was recovered from the nitric acid solution by precipitation as the sulfate. The sulfate was then dissolved, scavenged twice with Zr, Te, Fe and La hydroxides, once with basic Mo and Cd sulfides and once with acidic Mo and Cd sulfides. Ca was precipitated as the oxalate for mounting and counting.

5. Sr^{89} and Sr^{90} were originally separated by precipitation procedures (References 68 and 64). For the determination of Sr^{90} , the Y^{90} was allowed to grow into equilibrium, the $SrCO_3$ precipitate dissolved in HNO₃ containing Y carrier, Y (OH)₃ precipitated with ammonia gas and the Sr removed as the nitrate in fuming nitric acid. The Y was precipitated as the oxalate from an acetic acid solution in the pH range 3-5 and ignited to the oxide for mounting and counting.

6. The Cs procedure used for the determination of Cs¹³⁶ and Cs¹³⁷ was a modification by the original author of a precipitation and ion exchange procedure (Reference 65). The modification consisted mainly of a Cs tetraphenyl boron precipitation in the presence of EDTA, the use of Dowez-50 in place of Duolite C-3 in the cation exchange step and the addition of an anion exchange step. SEGRET.RESTRICTEDEDATA

The radiochemical work reported as being done at LASL was performed in conjunction with diagnostic measurements on the events. The methods used were those reported in the Los Alamos compilation of radiochemical procedures (Reference 66).

The gas samples were analyzed for Kr⁸⁸, Kr⁸⁵, Kr^{85m} and in some cases for Xe¹³³. The rare gas radionuclides were separated from the constituents of the atmosphere and then counted in a gas counter. The separation procedure used was developed at UCRL, Livermore, under the direction of Dr. Floyd Momyer. Carrier amounts of inactive Kr and Xe were added to the air sample and the mixture punped through a series of traps for purification purposes. Water and carbon dioxide were condensed out in the first trap, which was filled with inert packing and held at liquid nitrogen temperature. The Kr and Xe were absorbed on activated charcoal in a second trap, also immersed in liquid nitrogen, but the major part of the N_2 , O_2 and A passed through the trap and were removed. Residual air was desorbed at -80°C and the Kr by subsequent warming to 10°C. Further purifica-tion was effected by two more absorption-desorption cycles on charcoal. , After determination of the pure Kr yield, it was transferred to the gas counter.

This was the procedure used when Kr alone was the desired product; additional purification steps were necessary when Xe was also determined.

67

0018933

2.6 DATA REDUCTION

TER-E-SHYRAITSA

The analytical results were computed in the normal manner for the elemental analyses done for the project. However, the first, and more time-consuming phases of the data reduction were carried out on the IBM 650 computer at UCRL, Livermore. The radiochemical data were manually transcribed to IBM cards in the proper form for use by the computer, which was coded to apply a least-squares fit to the decay data and to make corrections for chemical yield, radioactive decay and the aliquot of the sample used. The output of the computer gave the counting rates for the individual radionuclides at zero time of the shots.

Further computation was performed by hand to obtain the number of fissions, product-to-fission ratios or R-values. Determination of the R-values, defined in Section 1.2.1, required calibration values on fission products from the thermal neutron fission of U^{235} . When these were not available, or only recently obtained, comparison analyses between LASL and NRDL provided the necessary factors.

68

0018933

CHAPTER III

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE DATA

It is noted that the achievement of project objectives 1, 2 and 3 depended wholly or in part on the proper functioning of the rocket samplers. Due to their failure, there are no results to be reported on the vertical and radial distribution of particles in the clouds, which was objective 3. However, objectives 1 and 2 were partially met and 4 was fully met by the aircraft samples.

Referring to the nuclides listed in Section 1.3.5, it is to be observed that a number of them were included for the purpose of developing a general background of information on nuclide fractionation. This material may serve as the basis for a separate report, but it is not being considered here since it was not a primary concern of Project 2.8. Only the data which have a bearing on the distribution of the nuclides Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} in the fallout will be covered in this chapter. The radiochemical results for each of the different types of samples collected contribute something to the overall evaluation.

3.1.1 Cloud Data. For the coincident samples from the light and variable wind layer there are two sets. available for Koa, five for Walnut and

0018933

S R. C.R.E.T. R.E. 9 PRATOTIC DESTUILT A

six for Oak. The ratio of total fissions, as calculated from the sample analytical data for Mo⁹⁹, Kr⁸⁵ and Kr⁸⁸ are given in Table 3.1. Also listed are the R-values for Sr⁹⁰ and Cs¹³⁷ from the gross particulate samples collected from the cloud at the same time. R-values characteristic of megaton range detonations are 0.77 for Sr⁹⁰ and 0.90 for Cs¹³⁷. Subject to the assumptions inherent in the method, which include among others that the ratio of Mo⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ in the sampled portion is representative of the entire cloud, the ratio of Mo⁹⁹ fissions to Kr⁸⁸ fissions gives directly that fraction of the total Mo⁹⁹ formed in the explosion which was left in the cloud at the time of sampling. Multiplication of these ratios by the cloud R-values and division by the device R-values convert them to the fractions of the nuclides remaining in the clouds. e.g. $\left(\frac{Mo^{99}}{Kr^{88}}\right)_{cloud}$ x $\frac{R(Sr^{50})}{R(Sr^{90})}$ cloud = fraction of Sr⁹⁰

remaining in cloud. The last step is necessary to correct for the difference in fission yields between device neutrons and thermal neutrons (see Section 1.2.1). The assumption is made here that the Mo^{99} to Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} ratios are constant throughout the cloud. The samples in the table are identified by aircraft numbers as in Appendic B, to which reference should be made for further details.

The calculated fractions of Mo^{99} , Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} in the cloud, based on the Kr^{88} fission product ratios, are plotted as a function of time in Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. Kr^{88} was not determined on the 27-hour samples from Walnut and Oak due to its low counting rate at that time. The points on the curves for these shots at 27 hours are based on the Mo^{99} -to- Kr^{85} fission ratios corrected by the Kr^{88} -to- Kr^{85} ratio at 12 hours. On Koa the late-time fission ratio is extrapolated and the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} fractions


(

Ĭ.

2.....

71

76,

6.0151-22





(

72

77.



F1. . .)

73

78,

i 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 		TAVI	لي لك ال						
PARTI	CIE-GAS FISSI	ION BATIOS AN	D R-VALUES	FOR SAMPLE	S FROM I	IGHT A	ND VARIABL	NIND LAYER		
-	KQA			TURLAN				JAK	·	
		Raves			Ravalue		5.		R-Yalues	
Fission <u>Ratios</u> ample Me ⁹⁹ /Kr ⁵⁵	м ⁹⁹ /ж ^{85†}	Br 90 08137	Fissiofi Batilos Mo99/Kr58	No99/Lr ⁸⁵	⁺ 8r90	751.0	Fiseion <u>Batios</u> No99/Kr ⁶⁶	He ⁹⁹ /Kr ^{85[†]}	5r90 0813	
)(7 п. 0.027 Эбл п. 0.022	0.023	5.62 27.8 1.37 3.85								
962 L 962 L 960 L 976 L (6.8 hrs) 976 L 981 L 976 L (27.5 hrs)			500000 500000 500000 500000 5000000	0.73 0.65 0.55 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34	10.00-11-1 0.01-1-1-0 0.04-1-0 0.04-1-0 0.04-1-0 0.04-1-0 0.04-1-0 0.04-1-0 00-00-0 00-00-00-0 00-00-00-0 00-00-0	2.27 2.27 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69				
976 2 976 2 962 2 962 2 960 2 17 2 17 2 17				· · ·			0.075 0.10 0.091 0.055 0.065	0.15 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.19 0.15 0.15	2.12 2.12 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15	እ እርር ቻ ይ ይ • ብ ቼ
+ assumed R ⁹⁹ (Kr ⁴	95) = 1 for t	he devices	• •	•					Y THE REAL PROPERTY OF	

.

7**9**...

S B O R D TT R D S T R T C T R D D D T TA

are calculated from R-values averaged from the particulate samples taken in the main cloud on the same aircraft as the gas samples. . The fractions for Oak are also from averages, here in the light and variable stratum, while for Walnut the stabilized condition shown in Figure 3.1 is used. Sample 980 L for Oak is not included due to the poor sampling conditions.

The fractions of these nuclides remaining in the cloud after one day are given in Table 3.2. These numbers are to be interpreted as the quantity of material which does not come down in the local area. The limits assigned are derived from the variability in the data.

TABLE 3.2

	PERCENT OF	NUCLIDES	LEFI IN CLOUD A	FIER ONE DHI
		M099	Sr 90	<u>Cs¹³⁷</u>
Koa		2 <u>+</u> 2	8 <u>+</u> 8	36 <u>+</u> 36
Walnut	÷	20 <u>+</u> 5	24 <u>+</u> 6	36 <u>+</u> 9
Oak	en e	11 ± 5	,23 <u>+</u> 10	49 <u>+</u> 23

Of the curves for the fraction of Mo⁹⁹ left in the clouds, the one for the water surface burst shows to a considerable degree the behaviour anticipated when the project was planned. On the reef shot, the points appear to be fluctuating around a fraction of 0. 11, whereas for the land surface detonation there is insufficient data to do anything but extrapolate beyond 6.5 hours. Since it is likely that the fission ratios would be around one

,80

initially, the curves shown for Oak and Koa may be only the relatively flat part which appears for Walnut at a later time. This seems to be consistent with what is surmised about the cloud particle size distribution for land and water shots.

In addition to the samples from the light and variable wind layer, there were also a number of collections made on each shot at lower altitudes. Although not of direct application to the project objectives, the radiochemical data for these samples is instructive since it shows how the nuclide composition of the particulate matter varied with altitude. Some of the samples came from the bottom portions of the clouds, but those collected at the lowest altitudes may have been below the base of the mushroom and would perhaps be considered as fallout. Table 3.3 gives a summary of the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} R-values for the three shots as related to altitude and time of collection. The R-values for the samples marked with an asterisk were calculated as gross figures from the R-values for the size-separated fractions. For the land surface shot the R-values show a general increase with altitude, attaining values at 60,000 feet which are 10 (Sr^{90}) to 40 (Cs^{137}) times those expected for the detonation. The water shot R-values are relatively insensitive to altitude, and the enrichment factor is not more than 2 for either nuclide. Samples collected below 45,000 feet may be from the

> 76 5995

0018933

11 ::

TABLE 3.3

Sr⁹⁰ AND C^{B137} R-VALUES VS ALTITUDE

	{	(
	R99(137)	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0•50
	R ⁹⁹ (90)	500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	0-77
OAK	Sampling Time Hrs.	56.83 26.34 27.34	
-	Sampling Altitude, Feet	⁴⁵ ⁴⁵ ⁵⁶	fractions.
	R ⁹⁹ (137)		0.90 eparated 1
51	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.75 1.16 1.16	0.77 he size-s
MALN	Sampling Time, Hrs.	2) 2,5,2,4,4,5,7,5,7,5,7,5,7,5,7,5,7,5,7,5,7,5,7	lues for t
	Sampling Altitude, Feet	42,000 51,500 58,500 58,500 58,500	the R-va
•	R ⁹⁹ (137)	2.05 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08	0.30 jures from
	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.47 0.72 0.72 0.77 7.76 7.76 7.76 7.76 7.76 7.76 7.76	0.77 gross fig
KOA	Sampling Time Hrs.	88 + + L L 8 4 6 6 9 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	culated as
	Sumpling Altitude, Feet	* 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	Devi ce

してもの

82

fallout.

On the reef shot it appears that the sampling planes were just entering the base of the cloud at the 55,000 foot level since there is a sudden jump in the R-values at this point. The material collected at lower altitudes is depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} and is not greatly different in composition from the fallout at 1000 feet. It is also noted that the enrichment factors for both nuclides go through a maximum with time for the samples from the light and variable stratum. Several conjectures might be offered in explanation of this unexpected behavior with time. One of these is that some sampling may have been done at the lower boundary of the light a variable stratum where some of the particles collected had fallen below the stratu where the rare gases were present. This could also be offered as a possible ex-planation for the late time rise in the Mo to Kr ratio in the Oak shot. Somewhat similar data for the Mo⁹⁹-to-Kr⁸⁸ and Kr⁸⁸ to-Kr⁸⁵ ratios

for the first four hours following detonation are given in Table 3.4. The Mo⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios are also shown graphically in Figure 3.4. At the lower altitudes the Mo⁹⁹ is enriched and the Kr⁸⁸ depleted with respect to Kr⁸⁵.

3.1.2 Fallout Data. The radiochemical data on the fallout samples may he used to obtain results for the distribution of Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} which are complementary to those found from the cloud analyses. The fraction of the total Mo⁹⁹ formed in the explosion which has left the cloud is found by difference from the numbers given in Table 3.2. Multiplication of these figures by the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} R-values for the fallout and division

> 78 TSOF RADICS A main Parent Antiper 954



.

-‡	
m	
TABLE	

Mo-TO-Kr AND Kr⁸⁸-TO-K⁴⁵ RATIOS FOR FIRST FOUR HOURS, SHOT KOA

Sample No.	Sampling Time, bre	Sampling Altitude, Feet	Mo99/Kr ⁸⁵⁺	Mo ⁹⁹ /Kr ^{85m⁺}	Mo ⁹⁹ /Kr ^{BB}	_{Кr} ⁸⁸ /к _r 85 ^т	kr ⁸⁸ /kr ⁸⁵ *
502 R	2.5	000,14	192.9	270.0	. 9,450	0.02	410°0
500 L	3.5	47,000	0.32	0.49	0.31	וויו	0.73
500 R	3.5	47,000	1 3 2	8	0.34	1	8 8 1
977 R	3.75	(0 7,5 00	1 1 1	0.052	01057	· 1.38	8 3 8
-				•			÷

continued

80

Ć

* Besumed $R^{99}(Kr^{88}) = R^{99}(Kr^{85m}) = R^{99}(Kr^{85})$

+ assumed $R^{99}(Kr^{85})$ and $R^{99}(Kr^{85m})$ = 1 for the device.

R-Draves

8587

-B-E-S-T-R-T-O

C.S. L.C.B. E. D

CONT'D	
3.4,	
CABLE	

Mo-TO-Kr AND Kr⁸⁸. TO. Kr⁸⁵ RATIOS FOR FIRST FOUR HOURS, SHOT WALNUT

Sample No.	Sempling Time, hrs	Sampling Altitude, Feet	M099/Kr ⁸⁵⁺	Mo99/Kr ^{85m+}	⁸⁸ 7/Kr ⁸⁸	Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ⁸⁵ #	Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ⁸
501 L	2.5	42,000	41.5	3.44	, 767	0.0082	0.00508
504 I.	3.1	144,000	0.66	0.60	0.28	7.47	1.63
504 R	3.1	144,000	0.59	ł	8 8 8	8 1 1	ł
196t	3.15	148,000	0.61	0.53	0•25	1.47	1.69
496 r	3.15	48,000	0.46	0.54	0.27	1.43	1.21
500 R	3.7	50,000	24.0	0.43	0.20	1.52	1.66
982 I	1.6	58,000	0.72	29.0	0•51	0.92	0.98
982 в	1.6	58,000	0.73	0.59	67*0	0.84	1.04
980 L	3•4	58,000	0.60	0-50	0.50	۲۲.0	9. 8
980 в	3°h	58,000	0.65	0.54	0.51	0.73	0.88

(

continued ** assumed R⁹⁹(Kr⁸⁸) = R⁹⁹(Kr^{85m}) = R⁹⁹(Kr⁸⁵)

+ assumed $\mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85} \text{ and } \mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85m}) = 1$ for the device

86 83

Atomic Energy

. 81

S.E.C.RER-T-R-B-G-T-RETTAN TO IN N.Y.

TABLE 3.4, CONT'D

Mo-TO-Kr AND Kr⁸⁸ TO-Kr⁸⁵ RATIOS FOR FIRST FOUR HOURS, SHOT OAK

Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ^{85*'}	8 8 1	0.095	240.0	8	0.057		0.84	04.1	1-31	1.39
Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ⁸⁵ m*	8 1 1	0.079	0.049	1	0.054		69.0	1.36	1.25	1.18
M099/Kr.88	8 8 9	ц	66 - 66	i	- 29		1•5	540.0	01.0	0.12
мо ⁹⁹ /Кг [.] В5т ⁺	1 1 1	1.21	4.63	1. T	5.00	1	1.51 [`]	0.15	0.18	0.21
M099/Kr ⁸⁵⁺	1.67	1.45	14.48	3.08	5.29	4.52	1.85	0.15	61.0	0.25
Sampling Altitude, Feet	45,500	45,500	47,000	1,000	49,000	49,000	54,000	56,400	56,400	2
Sampling Time, hrs	3.9	3.9	2.8	2.8	3.25	3.25	3.75	2.1	2.1	3.2
Sample No.	1 105	501 R	504 L	504 R	196t	1496 R	495 L	978 L	978 в	981 R

82

* assumed $R^{99}(Kr^{88}) = R^{99}(Kr^{85m}) = R^{99}(Kr^{85})$

F 7 84

88

S.F. C.R.F. T. R. B.S. T.R.J. C.T.E. M.A.T.A.

by the device R-values convert them to fractions of the two nuclides in the fallout. Table 3.5 lists results obtained in this way based on the averaged composition for the fallout.

Table 3.5

DATA ON NUCLIDES IN FALLOUT

	<u>R-Value(</u>	Average)	Fract	ion De	posited
	<u>Sr</u> 90	<u>Cs137</u>	<u>мо</u> 99	<u>Sr</u> 90	<u>Cs</u> 137
Koa	0.52	0.44	0.98	0.66	0.48
Walnu t	0.78	1.13	0.80	0.81	1.00
Oak	0.45	0.40	0.89	0.52	0.40

All the fallout samples from the land and reef shots show depletion of both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} as compared to the detonation yields. This is most pronounced in the earliest samples. Material coming down at times later than 4 hours for the land shot, and 6 hours for the reef shot, is quite uniform in composition and exhibits little evidence of fall rate-dependent fractionation.

The 4-hour fallout from the water surface shot is depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} , but the 10- and 13-hour samples show an enrichment. The two latter samples have nearly the same composition. The failure of the 6- and 8-hour flight missions makes the data rather scanty in this case.

These effects are brought out clearly by the numbers listed in Table 3.6.

3.1.3 Combined Cloud and Fallout Data. If alternative processes to fallout are not important, fission products with volatile predecessors can be as useful as gaseous fission products for measuring the extent of fallout. Since it is incorrect to assume that the content of a volatile fission product in fallout is zero, the R

TABLE 3.6

ENRICHMENT FACTORS IN FALLOUT

(

	R2	0.20	0.24	0.59	0.61	0.58			
-	B1	0.58	0.49	0.63	0.63	0.60		i	ł
AK	Sampling Time, hrs	R1 4	R2 6	R3 8	R4 10	R5 12		allout	the device
01	Sample No.	Massive	Маввіте	Massive	Massive	Маввіте		rved in f	cted from
	22	0.63	1.57	т.57				орве:	erpe
	۲ ^۳	0.68	1.25	1.13				о мо99	o Mo95
5	Sempling Time, hrs	4	9	13			•	of Sr ⁹⁰ t	of Sr90 t
TIVA	Sample No.	Massive 1 R1	Massive 2 Rl	Massive 2 R2				Ratio	Ratio
	^{R2}	0.37	0.54	0.54	0.52	<u>к.</u>	0.48		1 7
	^R 1	0.62	0.68	0.68	0.68	01.0	69.0	[_a 99/o) ج
KOA	Sampling Time, hrs	4	6	В	IO	સ	۲ و		. 0.4 [/
	Sample No.	Massive Ll	Маввіve R2	Маввіте R3	Massive R4	Мавыте R5	Wilson Sp. F	ъ – [ъ99/с	י יד ד רק

Ratio of Ca¹³⁷ to Mo99 observed in fallout Ratio of Ca¹³⁷ to Mo99 expected from the device

ſ

 $R_2 = \left[R^{99}(137) \right]_{FO} ; \left[R^{99}(137) \right]_{E}$

STEVERETINE Fiser Role Cort. E.D. D. ATT.A.

value in fallout must be measured. Then:

$$y = \frac{\left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{E} - \left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{F0}}{\left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{C} - \left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{F0}}$$

This formula can be derived by algebraic operations from the definitions of the R-values (see Appendix E). If, despite the fact that it is incorrect, the R value for Y in fallout is assumed to be zero, the above equation reduces to the expression for a gas and y becomes the upper limiting value for the fraction of Mo^{99} (or refractory debris) left in the region sampled.

Fission products such as Sr^{89} and Cs^{137} (to a somewhat lesser extent Sr^{90}) appear to behave very much like Kr^{88} in Koa, Walnut, and Oak events and may be used to estimate fractional fallout of refractory debris or upper limits to the fraction remaining aloft.

The disadvantage of using Sr^{89} or Cs^{137} for this purpose is that R values must be measured in fallout and are not necessarily constant. The chief advantage is that the analyses may be extended to longer times since the half-lives are long and a sufficient sample may be obtained by simply filtering more air.

Values have been calculated in the above manner and are given in Table 3.7.

85



90₁:

G-C-R-E-F-R-E-CLER-LIGTTEDIDATE

Table 3.7

Mo⁹⁹ FRACTIONS FROM COMBINED DATA

Ti	me of Col	llection (hr)		Fraction	of Mo ⁹⁹ in	Cloud C	alculated	From:
	Cloud	Fallout	Sr ⁸⁹	_{Cs} 137	<u>sr90</u>	<u>y</u> 91	Ce ¹⁴⁴	<u>Св</u> 130
	4.5	6	0.019	0.013	0.049	0.26	0.57	1.10
Koa	7.3	8	0.014	0.011	0.033	0.20	0.53	0 .58
	8	10	0.016	0.013	0.041	0.28	0.61	0.81
	11	12	0.013	0.010	0.030	0.22	0.86	0.76
Walnut	1.6	4	0.20	0.28	0.45	0.90	1.6	0.46
	3.4	4	0.32	0.44	0.60	1.04	1.6	0.4 4
	6.8	13	-	-	_ ·	0.93	1.4	0 .19
Oak	2.1	4	0.19	0.21	0.26	0.43	0.30	0.78
	2.1	6	0.17	0,20	0.29	0.51	-0.06	0.81
	6	8	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.17	0.05	0.31
	6	10	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.20	-0.03	0.30

In calculating the above values for fraction of Mo⁹⁹ in the cloud, the data must be picked from Tables Bl thru B6 with care. Only cloud samples taken in the light and variable layers are used and these are matched on an individual basis with height line samples taken at a later time, wherever possible.

The half lives of the noble gas precursors of the nuclides used above are: Cs^{137} , 3.8 min.; Sr^{89} , 3.2 min.; Sr^{90} , 33 sec.; Y^{91} , 10 sec.; Ce^{144} ,~1 sec.; Cs^{136} , none. The fraction of Mo⁹⁹ remaining in the cloud as calculated by each of these nuclides increases inversely as the half life of the nuclides noble gas precursor. This indicat that these nuclides and their precursors were not distributed in the same ratio through out the cloud even at very early times. If they were so distributed, the fraction of

85(a)

Second

Mo⁹⁹ as calculated from each of them should be identical. This indicates that the light and variable layer is not representative of the total cloud.

ELCRET

The Mo⁹⁹ fractions calculated from C_8^{137} and Sr^{89} , the two nuclides having the longest lived noble gas precursors, are compared with the Mo⁹⁹ fractions calculated from Kr^{88} in Table 3.8.

85(ъ) DATE 5 +6;;B< Acte 01 1954

		Ć		·								(
0018933	· .	in Cloud ated From:	Kr ⁸⁸	0.84	0.84	0.094	1	ı	1	0.98	0.74	an impossible	0.36	0.36	0.70	0*10	0.70		Non- and
		n of Csl37 099 Calcul	Cs137	0.53	0**0	1	0.48	0-49	0.51	0.55	0.65	and Cal37,	0.85	0.81	0.78	111-0	0-43		
		Fraction Using Mo	Sr ⁸⁹	0.72	0.59	1	0.61	0.60	0.67	0.39	0.47	i in Sr ⁸⁹ ,	0.77	0.69	0.65	0.52	0.53		DEVICE RANGE
	N CLOUD	in Cloud Lated From:	Kr ⁸⁸	0.20	0.20	0.039	t		1	0.70	0.61	are enriched	0.20	0.20	0.34	0-34	0.34		
	Table 3.8 FRACTIONS OF Mo ⁹⁹ , Sr ⁹⁰ and Csl37 D	n 99 Sr90 o99 Calcu	_{Cs} 137	0.12	0•095	I	11.0	0.12	11.0	0.39	0.54	d fallout	0-47	0•45	0.38	0.22	0.21		85(c)
		Fractio Using M	Sr ⁸⁹	71.0	11.0	ł	11.0	0.15	٩٢.0	0.28	0.39	cloud an	0.42	0.38	0.32	0.26	0.26		
		99 in ed From:	Kr ⁸⁸	0.027	0.027	0.022	ł	I	I	0.50	0.50	both the	0.088	0.088	0.084	0.084	0.084		
		lon of Mo Calculate	Cs137	210.0	0.013	ł	110.0	0.013	0.010	0.28	0-44	or Walnut s method.	0.21	0.20	0.093	0.053	0.052		
111		Fract ^J Cloud	Sr ⁸⁹	0.023	0.019	ł	۲۲0 •0	0.016	0.013	0.20	0.32	of data fo se by this	0.19	0.17	0.078	0.063	0.064		
1 - A 163		Collection [r.)	Fallout	4	6	ł	8	IO	72	4	4	other sets (on to analyz	4	9	9	8	JO		
H O II O		Time of (H	Cloud	4.5	4.5	دا 6.5 ا	4 7.3	8	ц	1.6	3.4	Eituati	2.1	2.1	ور ت <u>ر</u>	40 0	6		-

•

•

4

The sum of the nuclide fractions from the cloud and fallout should be one in each case provided that the R-values used are representative of the cloud and fallou as a whole. This seems to be likely for the fallout where the R-values change onlyrelatively slightly with time but more doubtful in the cloud due to the scatter of the analytical results. Table 3.9 gives a comparison between the deposited fraction. (from Table 3.5) and airborne fractions (from Tables 3.2 and 3.8). The agreement is generally as good as could be expected considering the nature of the data.

In shot Koa the gas sample data are very meager. The gas and particulate samples are not matched well in time and altitude. It is believed that the No99 fractions, and consequently the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} fractions, as calculated from the Sr⁸⁹ and Cs¹³⁷ in the cloud and fallout are better values than those calculated from Kr⁸⁸.

For shot Walnut the late fallout results are limited and not interpretable in obtaining the fraction airborne, hence only the gas sample data have been used. These fallout data also lead to unreasonably large fractions deposited.

In shot Oak, both fallout and gas samples give similar values for the fractions deposited and airborne. The averages have been used.

Table 3.9

COMPARISON OF AIRBORNE AND DEPOSITED FRACTIONS

		<u>Sr⁹⁰</u>			<u>Cs137</u>		
	Fraction Deposited	Fraction Airborne	Total	Fraction Deposited	Fraction Airborne	Total	
Koa	0.66	0.13	0.79	0.48	0.56	1.04	
Walnut	0.81	0.24	1.05	1.00	0.36	1.36	
Oak	0.54	0.23	0.77	0.41	0.49	0.90	

THERE THE STRAFT GT. R.D. D.T. S.

3.2 DATA RELIABILITY

3.2.1 Cross-Contamination of Koa Samples. As discussed in Section 2.3.2, a preliminary examination of the samples from Shot Koa, shortly after their receipt. at LASL, indicated that they might be badly contaminated with debris from Fir. If this were the case, the fission ratios from the Koa cloud data could not be used for the determination of fallout partition since they would not be representative of the detonation. To investigate the extent of cross-contamination, the Koa samples were analyzed for W^{185} , Table 3.10 gives a summary of the results of this work. These numbers for the tungsten-to-fission ratios times 10^4 are to be compared with a figure of

Table 3.10

RADIOTUNGSTEN ANALYSES ON KOA CLOUD SAMPLES

Sample Number	W ¹⁸⁵ Atoms/Fission (x 104)
07 7	481
211 E40	1.62
	1.67
500	2.58
502	0.621
981 L	16.2
3981 R	13 1
980 L .	42.4
Massive R4	1.81
Massive R5	4.70
Wilson Special R6	3.03

36,000, which is an average of several analyses on material from the Fir cloud.

DELETED

DELETED

Hence the quantities of No and Kr introduce

into the Koa cloud from Fir were small enough so that they would have a negligible effect on the fission ratios.

86

D-D-A

<u>3.2.2 Accuracy of Radiochemistry</u>. Radionuclide analyses on the particle samples were accurate to 5 percent on a relative basis and the gas counting had an accuracy better than 10 percent.

<u>3.2.3 Reliability of Sampling</u>. Certain points on the curves of Figure 3.1 are to be attributed somewhat less significance than the others due to uncertainties regarding the samples. On Koa the fission ratio for sample 981 R may be off by a factor of 2 due to the small sample size and high counter background from fallout which would decrease the counting accuracy. On Walnut sample 978 L (27.5 hr.) the probe velocity was low and Kr^{85} only was determined. Sample 980 L for Oak has been disregarded due to the very low probe velocity which would tend to make the Mo⁹⁹ to Kr^{88} ratio too high.

3.2.4 <u>Particle Fall Rates and Specific Activities</u>. The particle size distributions (and hence the specific activity as a function of particle size) could be altered in a number of ways before the fall rate studies were made. Among these are breakup of particles by impaction on the filter, loss of fine particles in handling, spontaneous breakup of particles in the fallout process itself due to atmospheric moisture (cf. Appendix C re the behavior of particles in liquids), and several other possible means of alteration.

One can calculate what fall rate a particle would need to fall 59,000 feet in 4 hours, i.e., to be collected in Koz Massive Ll. This fall rate is 125 cm/sec.

The diameter of a spherical particle with a fall rate of 125 cm/sec. is about 120 microns. Figure Cl gives essentially no particles with fall rates as great as 125 cm/sec. However, Figure C 10 gives about 30 per cent of the particles with diameters greater than 120 microns. This disagreement is possibly due to the effect of the micromerograph on weakly constructed particles, and the effect may not be uniform on all types of particles.

87

Har Frank Street Street

TABLE 3.11ª

CLOUD DATA, OPERATION REDWING

ł

Ć

	Mo99:Kr ^{UB}	14.3	100.0	0.001	0.54
ater Shot Navajo)	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.75	0.64	0.68	8 8 8
Me ()	Altitude, feet	39,000	43,000	46,000	50,000
	м ^{о99:Кг^{ВВ}}	16.6	14.3	0.77	0.59
Reef Shot (Tewa)	R99(90)	44.0	74.0	0.86	1.5 ,
7	Altitude, feet	32,000	48,000	51,000	53,000
	Mo ⁸⁶ :Kr ⁸⁸	50.0	2.5	11.0	
Land Shot (Zuni)	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.51	0.64	2.0	
	Altitude, feet	000'11	51,000	55,000	
				88	

a. Reference 26.

(

IC Energy

ECRE

E-C-R-E-T-R-E-G-T-T-I-T-T-E-R-D DLT-T-T-

The above example illustrates the inconsistencies in the data and points out the need for caution in making interpretations based on them.

3.3 COMPARISON WITH THE RESULTS OF PREVIOUS TESTS

Shots were fired during Operation Redwing under conditions similar to those of the present series and some data are available from published reports which may be used for comparison purposes. Results on the No⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios and Sr⁹⁰ R-values as a function of altitude in the cloud for the first four hours are reproduced in Table 3.11 from Reference 26. It is noted that for the land and reef shots the Sr⁹⁰ R-values increase and the Mo⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios decrease in a vay generally comparable to the similar Hardtack events. On the water shots the Sr⁹⁰ R-values are nearly constant with altitude, as with Walnut, but the Mo⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios are not comparable.

The fallout R-values for the Hardtack shots are generally not inconsistent with those arrived at for the Redwing shots by Project 2.63. The latter gave radionuclide compositions which generate computed decay curves in good agreement with those actually measured on several different types of instruments. The R-valu from Redwing are listed in Table 3.12.

Table 3.12_

		R-VALUES FC	IR REDWING	
<u>R</u>	99 <u>(90)</u>	A	<u>R⁹⁹(1</u>	37)
Shot	Cloud	Fallout	Cloud	Fallout
Flathead	~1.1	0.34	~2.3	0.32
Navajo	-	0.8	-	0.7
Tewa	~1.0	0.29	~1.5	0.14
Zuni	~2.0	0.25	~2.8	0.08

89



TETRETTERESTRUCTED DATA

Fallout R-values for Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} collected in different locations from Tewa and Zuni showed variations of up to an order of magnitude. The fallout collections from those stations closest to the zero point were most depleted in these nuclides. Flathead and Navajo gave much less change in the R-values with distance from the zero point; at most a factor of two.

3.4 EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTRUMENTATION

The aircraft-borne sampling equipment performed in a generally satisfactory manner throughout the entire operation with the exception of some malfunctioning of the gas compressor pumps on the first shot. This was due primarily to the shortage of time for checkout prior to actual operational use. As the participating personnel gained experience, communications improved and the sampling flights progressed more smoothly. Each of the three types of aircraft sampling equipment is considered to be well suited for its intended use.

Difficulties experienced with the rocket samplers are fully described in Chapter II and Appendix A.

90

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

The failure of the rocket sampling program made it necessary to rely almost exclusively upon the technique of relative enrichment of volatile material in an isolated portion of the cloud for the measurement of fallout partition. This technique is an unproved one which includes some rather bold assumptions, and a number of experimental difficulties.

It should be born in mind that it was not possible to sample at altitudes as high as desirable, and differences in cloud height with energy release and their subsequent effects upon fallout partition were not clearly defined. However, with these reservations it is concluded that the technique generated a reasonably consistent body of data which was interpretable in the fashion expected.

The pattern of progressive enrichment of volatile material in an isolated portion of the cloud was displayed in Walnut on a rather long time scale. However, if progressive enrichment occurred in Koa and Oak, it was on a time scale short compared to two hours. Since the program for early sampling by rockets was not successful, no data exist for these shots to demonstrate a time-dependent effect in the direction of enrichment.

1. The results suggest that for megaton range weapons detonated at the ocean surface, around one-fourth of the Sr^{90} and one-third of the Cs^{137} formed will be dispersed over distances greater than 4,000 miles.

2. Corresponding figures for a coral land surface or reef are around one-fifth for Sr^{90} and one-half for Cs^{137} .

ECHETRRE BECHLICED DATA

3. Radionuclide fractionation is pronounced in shots over a coral land surface. The local fallout is depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} , while the upper portions of the clouds are enriched. Fractionation is much less for water surface shots.

4. Nuclear clouds are non-uniform in composition and certain nuclide ratios vary by rather large amounts from top to bottom. Again, this is much larger for land than water surface detonations.

5. The radiochemical studies of fine and coarse particles indicate that the fission products with rare gas precursors, Sr^{89} , Sr^{90} , Y^{91} , and Cs^{137} are in general more concentrated in the fine particles in the land and reef shots. In the water surface shot they appear to be more evenly distributed among the particle groups.

6. Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} distributions computed from cloud and fallout data are roughly in agreement with one another.

4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The ratio of local to world-wide fallout is essentially governed by the distribution of particles with respect to size and altitude in the cloud at stabilization (i.e. at an early time before appreciable fallout has occurred), and the specific activity of radionuclides of interest as a function of particle size. The latter function may vary with altitude in the cloud at stabilization.

The basic types of information necessary to calculate the fractions of a given radionuclide in local and worldwide fallout from particulate samples are:

1) the particle size at which division into local and worldwide fallout occurs for each sample

- 2) the fraction of the volume of the cloud swept out in obtaining each sample
- 3) the mass of each of the two groups of particles in each sample
- 4) the R values of the radionuclide of interest in each of the two groups of particles in each sample

The first of these can be calculated in advance from the criteria for worldwide fallout from the altitude of sample collection. The second can be calculated from the area of the sampling system by obtaining the total volume of the cloud and the cloud dimensions at various altitudes from cloud photography. The third can be obtained by separating the particles into the necessary two fractions during sampling and subsequently weighing each group. The fourth can be obtained by radiochemical analyses of each of the two particle groups.

It is recommended that such a program be carried out if the opportunity is presented by future weapons tests.

93



APPENDIX A

ROCKET DEVELOPMENT

A.1 HARDTACK PERFORMANCE

6 May Test

Four rockets were set up on Site Yvonne for testing during Shot Cactus, a 14-kt. detonation; two were located at 3200 feet from ground zero, while the others were placed at a position some 5000 feet further down-island. It was planned to fire both of the down-island rockets and one of those situated at 3200 feet to check out the performance of the array prior to operational use on Shot Koa. The remaining rocket was to be left unfired on its launcher so that the results of exposure to the detonation could be observed. The launching equipment for this rocket was rendered inoperative by the blast, but neither of the rockets at the close-in site were damaged. Both of the down-island rockets fired, and one penetrated the cloud and was recovered from the lagoon. However, it collected no activity since the cloud height was less than predicted and the sampler head was programmed to open at an altitude higher than the resultant cloud top. The second rocket flew in an erratic manner, missed the cloud and sank. Its nose cone was recovered from the bottom of the lagoon and a post-mortem examination indicated that the rocket had probably been damaged by a flying object prior to launching.

9 May Test

Two rockets were fired from Site Wilma for system check and nose cone recovery practice, but both cones were leaky and sank soon after striking the water. The cause of the leakage was not known, but it was thought that a contributing factor might have been the existence of a partial vacuum inside the sampling heads, since they were sealed at an altitude of about 80,000 feet where the ambient pressure is much below that at sea level. To correct this situation, small holes of about 0.040 inch diameter were drilled in the nose cones and coated with a hydrophobic grease, thereby allowing air pressure equalization without permitting the entry of water. Static tests showed that no water entered the sampler heads by this route.

13 May Test

Eighteen rockets were set up for firing at the Koa cloud, but, as described previously, none were launched (see Section 2.3.2).

26 May Test

After modification and testing of the launching equipment subsequent to Shot Koa, it was believed that the system was fully operational. It was desired at this time to test the complete array with a full complement of rockets. Four rockets were set up on Site Mary, eight on Site Sally and 6 on Site Wilma for firing at the Yellowwood cloud. The cloud

Alonne-Breep Alternation

from Shot Yellowwood did not develop to the extent predicted, and launching signals were sent only to the launchers on Mary and Sally at H / 13-1/2 minutes. All rockets launched successfully. The rockets on Wilma were intentionally not launched, because it was apparent that their trajectories would not intersect the cloud. Even of those fired, four were seen to have missed the cloud. Three nose cones were recovered. The cap on the first nose cone was still intact, probably due to a short in the circuit that fired the nose cap removal squib; therefore, no sample was collected. The second nose cone was from a rocket programmed to open at 30,000 feet. When recovered, the nose cone contained about 60 ml. of water. At H / 9 hours the filter of this nose cone read about 1 mr./hr. at the surface. The third nose cone was from a rocket programmed to open at 55,000 feet. About 100 ml. of water had leaked into it, and the surface reading of its filter was 25 mr./hr at H / 9-1/2 hours. After this shot, an intensive effort was made to determine the cause of leakage of water into the nose cones. It was found that the ball joint sealing the forward end of the nose cone after sampling could bounce back a small amount after closure, thereby permitting water to enter. A latching mechanism was designed to lock the ball joint in its totally closed position. This modification was then applied to all nose cones.

96

At INTERED ACT ACT DE DE ATTA

SECRETTRESTRUCTED DATA AND

I June Test

Three rockets were fired from Site Wilma to test the modified ball joint closure mechanism. The sustainer motor on the first rocket did not ignite, causing the nose cone to remain attached to this unit which fell into the lagoon and sank. The second rocket was damaged by impact with a coral head. The third nose cone was recovered intact and was dry inside. This represented a completely successful performance of the system. It appeared that the problem of water leakage into the nose cone had been solved.

19 June Test

Ten rockets were set up for firing at the Walnut cloud. Of these, six were successfully launched (see Section 2.3.3).

20 June Test

Because of the presence of water in the Walnut nose cones, two rockets were fired from Wilma to further investigate the cause of leakage. The nose cone of the first rocket failed to separate from the sustainer motor and was destroyed when it hit the reef. The second nose cone was recovered in the lagoon, and 50 ml. of water was found to have leaked into it. It was conjectured at this time that the low ambient temperature (-100 F^{O}) encountered by the rocket at altitude might be freezing and causing distortion of the O-ring seals.

97

Atom Phengy Action 1964

23 June Test

A nose cone with parachute was dropped from a helicopter at an altitude of about 1,500 feet. It was recovered within two and a half minutes after striking the lagoon, and again, 50 ml. of water was found inside. The possibility of impact with the water causing the large rear conical seal to open momentarily now became suspect. This was suggested by the rather large volume of water that had entered in a relatively short time.

24 June Test

Two nose cones with parachutes were dropped from an altitude of 1,500 feet in an effort to determine the exact point of water leakage. In the first nose cone, the filter was replaced by a rubber membrane; and both the fore and aft spaces of the nose cone were stuffed with absorbent paper tissue, so any water leaking in would be retained near the point of entry. After recovery, it was found that no water had leaked into this unit. The second nose cone, which was the same one used in the 23 June test, was also stuffed with tiksue. However, a normal filter unit was used to separate the sections rather than a rubber membrane. When recovered, this nose cone was found to be dry inside. There was no difference between recovery conditions on the 23 and 24 June tests, except that the lagoon surface was rough on 23 June and calm on 24 June.

98

Applie Energy Actor 1954

SECRETTRESSER.LC.TLEDEDAT

0018933

LATER RESEARCH

It is seen in Figures A-1 and A-2, illustrating the programming of the rocket and the nose section of the nose section of the rocket, that the system is a complex one.

In the early stages of work on the rocket, prior to the field operation, it had been recognized that the chance of having a completely operational system ready for sampling the HARDTACK clouds was small, due to the short length of time available for development and test firing. Nevertheless, it seemed possible that defects of a minor nature which remained could be rectified in the field. The operational flights and tests already described show that significant progress was made toward this objective.

However, after the tests of 24 June, it became apparent that the cause of nose cone leakage and other malfunctions could not be determined and corrected with facilities available at the Pacific site. Further work, utilizing range and test installations in the United States, was essential to the attainment of a completely successful sampling system. Accordingly, the rocket portion of Project 2.8 was terminated on 27 June with the concurrence of the Chief, AFSWP, and the Division of Military Applications, AEC.; all unfired rounds were shipped to California.

From July to December 1958, the Cooper Development Corp. tested the rockets from the EPG to investigate possible modes of entry of water into the sampling heads (Reference 57).

Three nose cones identical to those flown in the last Pacific rounds



(





(

Figure A.2 Schematic View of Rocket Nose Section

6-E-C-R-E-L-R-E-S-L-R-I-G-T-E-D-A-I-

were subjected to environmental tests at North American Aviation Co. during the month of July. The tests included low temperature cycle, vibration and acceleration.

For the low temperature tests, the forward and aft seals were closed and the programmer and its container were removed. Thermocouples were placed on the "O-rings" of the forward and aft seals. The assembly was brought to room temperature (75 F°) and the cold chamber was stabilized at -65 F° . The nose cone was placed in the cold chamber and allowed to stand for five minutes. At the end of that time, the forward seal "O-ring"¹¹ temperature was -10 F° . The nose cone was removed from the cold chamber and allowed to remain at room temperature for 4 minutes, then completely submerged in water for one minute and allowed to float at its normal level for 4 minutes. When the cone was removed from the water and disassembled, it was found that no leakage had occurred.

The cone used for the vibration test was a complete flight-readyassembly except that the skin around the diffuser had been removed. The acceleration load was maintained at 5 g's while the vibration frequency was varied from 3 to 2000 cycles per second. The dwell time at each resonant frequency was one minute. The vibration was applied first in the plane parallel to the longitudinal center-line of the assembly, then in the plane perpendicular to the center-line. No failures occurred.

102 STREET, STREET, Avmie Energy Acto
For the acceleration tests, a flight-ready nose cone assembly was separated into two sections at the filter joint. Both sections were placed on a spin table in the deceleration plane and the load was raised to 50 g's and held there for one minute. No failures occurred. The sections were then placed in the acceleration plane and the load was again increased to 50 g's and maintained at that level for one minute. The programmer started its functions at approximately 15 g's, continued to operate properly and no failures occurred. The test was then repeated using the nose cone which had been vibration tested and the results were the same. The four tests showed that the sampling cone design was entirely compatible with the anticipated environmental conditions.

Beginning on 17 July, further testing of possible sources of leakage in the sampling cones was conducted at the Morris Dam Small Calibre Range, Azusa, California, which is a facility of the U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, Pasadena, California. Ten assemblies were dropped into the water at various angles and with various modifications. The first 8 tests were carried out by dropping the assemblies from a height of approximately 32 feet at angles of 75° and 90° with the breathe hole left open. Other tests included drops of cones attached to parachutes from 100 feet, free-fall drops with the breathe hole closed, and parachute drops with a neoprene boot on the forward seal of the nose cones. The last 6 tests used

103

Atomic Energy Act of 1954

cones in which a vacuum (23 inches of mercury), similar to the nearvacuum of the upper atmosphere, had been induced. Examination of these assemblies after recovery showed that the vacuum remained when the breathe hole was sealed.

A total of 27 tests using 10 nose cone assemblies were conducted over a 5-day period. This work, plus further testing at the Cooper Development Corporation plant, indicated that certain points around the forward ballseal joint and the operating mechanism were susceptible to small leaks as the pressure difference between the interior and exterior of the diffuserfilter section increased. The neoprene boot, which covered the operating mechanism, had proved to be particularly vulnerable during the Pacific firings and later tests. The reliability of the seal was increased a great deal by redesign of the boot, and only infrequent minute leaks were observed after installation of the improved boots. These leaks were repaired as they occurred until the seal was tight enough to hold a pressure difference of 23 inches of mercury for 10 minutes.

Following the successful drop tests, two flight test rounds were fired at NAMTC, Point Mugu, California, on 24 July. The nose cones for these rounds were modified to incorporate the improvements which had been made during the tests at Morris Dam. All programmer function times were as planned and both rounds were judged to be quite successful. Their trajec-

104

ErGRET RESTRICTED DATA

tories were followed throughout the flights by range radar, enabling the impact points to be quickly located by radars on the search aircraft. The cones were then recovered by a rescue craft. One of them was completely dry and the second contained only a few ml. of water. When the cones were disassembled, it was observed that the dry one had maintained a partial vacuum while the other had apparently leaked air to equalize the pressure.

In spite of the success of the flight tests, it was felt that still further improvements could be made in sealing the diffuser-filter assembly. A conference was held in August between Cooper and UCRL personnel to investigate new approaches to the problem. After study of the design, it was concluded that moving the forward ball seal "O-ring" from the forward to aft side of the ball would eliminate several possible sources of leakage, although there would be some sacrifice of performance. Slight leakage had been observed during some of the tests at the rubber boot on the pushpull rod, around the nose cap cable entries and at the forward nose cap blow-off joint. Relocation of the "O-ring" to a position aft of these areas was expected to prevent any water which might enter from reaching the filter. All changes in design which had been made at the EPG and later, including the relocation of the "O-ring", were incorporated in a new set of drawings and two new nose cones were manufactured to the revised draw-

105

A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR

ings.

A new antenna system was devised for the recovery transmitter consisting of two bent dipoles located on opposite sides of the head and positioned as far forward as possible so that they would be above the surface of the water. This system was tested at Puddingstone Dam near Pomona, California on 20 November 1958. The antenna was first submerged, then the head was allowed to float during the test. Readable signals were received as far as 5 miles away with both ground and aircraft receivers. The signal was both stronger and steadier than that produced by the antennas used on the Pacific rounds.

Drop tests using the two redesigned nose cones were conducted at Morris Dam on 22 November 1958. The assemblies were dropped 5 timeseach from a height of 35 feet. No parachutes were used and the angle of impact was not controlled. Both assemblies remained completely dry on the inside throughout the tests. One cone was slightly damaged when it came to the surface under a steel barge, but this was quickly repaired.

The two new nose cones were assembled into flight rounds for tests at NAMTC, Point Mugu, on 2 December 1958. Both rounds were launched at a 75° elevation angle and azimuth of 217°. The second stage of the first round either failed to ignite, or ignited only partially, as evidenced by the lack of a contrail and the horizontal range of only 14,200 yards. Nose cone

STE CREETENRIESTRIESTETLIDIATTAI

separation and parachute deployment were achieved satisfactorily. The nose cone was located after impact by a very strong, steady, directional signal from the recovery transmitter and by sighting the dye marker. It was completely dry inside and a vacuum seal had been maintained for 2-1/2 hours. On the next round, second stage ignition was observed and the range radar showed nose cone separation at approximately 105,000 feet. The payload descended very rapidly and could not be located by the search craft. The radar plots gave no indication as to the nature of the malfunction which evidently occurred. It is possible that the second stage parachute failed to deploy or that the first stage parachute was fouled by the motor.

These were the final tests carried out in the development of an ocean recovery version of the cloud sampling rocket. The results indicate that the improvements in design made subsequent to the field operation have resulted in a more practical system than the one available in April, 1958. However, further flight testing would be desirable if the rocket is to be used in a future cloud sampling program.

107

Stomics and sectors in the 1954

STE-GORDELT=R-E-S_F-R-T-CUTIC_D_D_A_T-A

APPENDIX B

RADIOCHEMICAL DATA TABLES

The tables given in this section (B. 1 through B. 6) contain a compilation of radiochemical data for all the samples collected by Project aircraft. The samplers are identified by the number or name of the sampling plane. The letters R or L placed next to the aircraft number (or name) indicate that sampling units toward the right or left side of the aircraft were used. The single rocket sample obtained is also included. The analytical results are tabulated separately for the gas and particulate samples from the three shots. Data on the particulate material is divided into three groups, namely gross cloud samples, size-separated cloud samples and fallout samples. In each table the data are arranged in the order of increasing time of collection.

The following general remarks will serve to clarify certain entries in the tables:-

1. All fission values based on Mo^{99} in the particulate sample tabulations have been normalized to a LASL K factor of 2.50 x 10⁵.

2. The disintegration rates of Po^{210} and Cm^{242} listed in the tabular results have been corrected to the zero time of the event.

3. The amount of Po^{210} loaded on KOA was 5.487 x 10^{14} disintegrations per minute as of the zero time of KOA.

SE GREETINR-E-STRAGETE DeBAST A

4. The amount of Cm^{242} loaded on KOA was 2, 418 x 10¹⁴ disintegrations per minute as of the zero time of KOA.

5. The amount of Cm^{242} loaded on OAK was 5.674 x 10¹⁴ disintegrations per minute as of the zero time of OAK.

6. All Sr⁸⁹ and Sr⁹⁰ R values have been normalized to the LASL values by means of the KOA samples analyzed at both LASL and NRDL.

7. All Y⁹¹ R values have been normalized to the NRDL values by means of the KOA samples analyzed at both LASL and NRDL.

8. The term "probe velocity" refers to the pumping speed in the gasparticle coincident sampler. Samples collected at a low probe velocity are very likely non-representative of the cloud.

9. On KOA the Massive samples were collected on the 60,000 foot height line; the Wilson Special sample was from the general fallout.

10. The fine and coarse fractions for the KQA and OAK size-separated samples were separated at a nominal fall rate of 1 cm/sec. Nominal fall rates for the WALNUT fractions were:
i rates for the WALNUT fractions were:
fine fraction, less than 0.1 cm./sec.; medium fraction, 0.1 - 1.0 cm./sec.;
coarse fraction, greater than 1 cm./sec.

11. The sampling altitudes given for Aircraft 978 on WALNUT and 981 on OAK are thought to be too high, but more reliable figures are not available.

109 S E C R F T R E S.T.R I G T E D B A T A A DIRECT R S.T. A C OF 1954 HO

•			••	•		- .	,	
				••	E 196 E 116	ж 005 т 205 ж 205	Alterait	1
0		-		An R-fact An R-fact	3.75 6.5	۲.5 .5	Time (hrs)	
				or of 1 wer or of 0.7 s	61500 57000	41000 47000	Altitude (ft)	
				0.1 was us	 0.66	0.10 0.68 0.69	Prr be T Al reraft	
				leulsting the verious Kr f od for Kr ⁸⁸ fissions when c		Low probe velocity Probably below muin c) No Kr done. Talua from Xrl 33	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
•				Tission Velues. Delculation the D	1.89 0.074	13.5 5.80 7.03	tiniegy z 10	
	111	•		rection of Noge, Srgo,	49.7 <u>2</u> 3.2 1.7¢0.7	0.001£0.0015 13.2£0.5 _14.7£1.5	LI -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -1	
:				and Cally in the eloud	36.1 <u>£</u> 1.7 1.9/1.2	0.0%040.002 11.940.6 -		
	-			÷	- 2.4 <u>6</u> 1.8	0.07 <u>6</u> 0.11 18.1 -	"floology 10-11	
						0.308 0.35	fiton 1.80	
							- #98(xra) ⁶	0 2148 (2)
					r SKF	UCKL UCKL	Amalysi by	

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0.00	0.9	5 7.0	0.3	5 0.7	0.5						Device
		-0.2		-		,						ŝ			5 8 2	ALLEY .
	MHCL	96	× 0.0	8 3.1	0.63	1.41	91 6.54		67 0.E	e-0	1. I. Xi 8	112-1	7 7 8 8		10	
$ \frac{1}{1111} = $	JEAN	39	5 V 0.1	د ن بر ۲	0.7	0.56	2, 5, 12 5, 12	- 0 - 5 - 6	20.5	0	1.78	13.0	-50 stars	1000	1 21 4	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2	, ,	•	<u>1</u>			it Sempla	Fall ou							
	, NUMBER , N	ę	1 0.1	o 3.6	0.740	0.016	6 7.03	A 0.54	17 0.65	0.5	19.7	4.33	grass, rocket	ı	•	
								Fat Samo				1	CONFIE			
and Hill at the series for the segment of the seg	NHDL.	16	y 0.1		0.11:	1.15	5.7	0.91	1.11	1.26		22.5	entipe			
NAME Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix 1.1	HOL HADL	35	0.1	۔ بو بر	0.702	1.56	5 7.72	P,	1.22	1,48	ı	12.0	fin•	58,500	28.	16
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									ALC: NO.	ALC: N						
NAME Matrix NAME		ويعطيك فالمقاصية والمعارفة والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والم	والمتعالية والمستعدية والمستع			-				¥ 4	1	31-4	COAFSE			
NAME Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix NALE	JURN	1	J.12	ı	1	•	۱.	1 1		- : :	1	16.5	med i um			
NAME Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	WRDL		0.11		.)	, ,			6 0.88	0.76	1	3.57	fine	57.000	1.5	
NAME Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	THUL	.							•							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						p]	late Sabi	Particul	parated	Sise 5.		ł		;		
NAME HARMAN HARMAN NAME NAME<	E SF	0.030	ı	,	n. 791	1.84	F. 79	0.876	1.16	1.41	ı	16.1	gTOIN, LASL 'A'	58,500	21.5	
TABLE AND			· 1	ı	0.792	1.51	و. مر و	0.891	1.15	1.43	١	12.3	ATOIS, LIST '1'	57.800	12.3	-
NAME	LASL						0.94	0.001	1.05	1.30	•	12.3	gross, LASE 'A'	64.000	6.8	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LASL	0.010	ı	•	387 G	· · 60										Ū
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. LASE	3 0.036	0.10	3.67	0.764	2.17	8.43	0.971	1.49	1.79	ı	28.5		5		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			101-0	3.70	608.0	1.33	1.00	0.543	0.935	0.989	١	76.5	gross, LASL 'A'	58,000	بر ج	-
A 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	WSL				0.130	2042	3.65	0.942	1.39	1.68	۲.	J2.J	gross, LASL 'A'	45,000	3.15	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	161	0.036	0-104	•	909			0.848	1.27	1:60	1	26.5	GTONN. LASL 'A'	uu.000	3.1	
$\frac{2}{1.6} \frac{1}{51.500} \frac{1}{610000000000000000000000000000000000$	Lin .		0.100						0. [*]	0.191	,	50.0	gross, LISL 'A'	42,000	2.5	
$\frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	LISL	0.051	0.397	3.47	0.726	1.00	31.4	111	316 0					21.000	1.0	
$\mathbf{x}_{1} = \mathbf{x}_{1} $	List.	0.026	0.102	3.76	0.505	י. דו	n. 56	179'0	1.07	- 0rees	•	1 1 1		6	•	
All and a substrate service and a substrate an									r 	•	-	70°.		(71)	(hr.)	
VALNOT PARTICULATE SAME	Anniyele Vy	Na 12 f	م2,21 2,22	<mark>ال</mark> 1.26	8 C•144	c_137 R	c ,136	и 16 ¹	* ⁵ ,8	57 ⁸ 9	10.15	10010 x 10-12	Sançle Type fi	111104.		1
			-				WPLTS	ULATS SA	I PARTIC	AYEAN						
								2 8 Z	743L	•				A 275452 St	日本の	Ĩ
	0010							•								

1 ?:1 //8

Party v Arreadily Bown Fe fluelong x 10 ⁻¹¹ -11 <th red{close="" th="" x<=""><th>Party with the second state with the second state st</th><th>000585 5.12 7 8 126 00009 2 9 9 7 8 126 0000 2 1 7 156 0000 2 1 8 16 00000 0 1 8 16 0 1 8 16</th><th>2000 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.</th><th>950 E</th><th>0000 8.6 1316 500 8 1.6 5000 918 1 816</th><th>500 B J./ 50000</th><th>. a cs6</th><th></th><th>950 L J.4 55000</th><th>н у с на на</th><th>456 L 3.15 46000</th><th>• ۹ £ 402</th><th>5.4 L J.1 H1000</th><th>501 L 2.5 L2000</th><th>2.2 L 1.6 55200</th><th>Aircreft Tine Altitude A else (bre) (ft)</th><th></th><th></th></th>	<th>Party with the second state with the second state st</th> <th>000585 5.12 7 8 126 00009 2 9 9 7 8 126 0000 2 1 7 156 0000 2 1 8 16 00000 0 1 8 16 0 1 8 16</th> <th>2000 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.</th> <th>950 E</th> <th>0000 8.6 1316 500 8 1.6 5000 918 1 816</th> <th>500 B J./ 50000</th> <th>. a cs6</th> <th></th> <th>950 L J.4 55000</th> <th>н у с на на</th> <th>456 L 3.15 46000</th> <th>• ۹ £ 402</th> <th>5.4 L J.1 H1000</th> <th>501 L 2.5 L2000</th> <th>2.2 L 1.6 55200</th> <th>Aircreft Tine Altitude A else (bre) (ft)</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Party with the second state with the second state st	000585 5.12 7 8 126 00009 2 9 9 7 8 126 0000 2 1 7 156 0000 2 1 8 16 00000 0 1 8 16 0 1 8 16	2000 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	950 E	0000 8.6 1316 500 8 1.6 5000 918 1 816	500 B J./ 50000	. a cs6		950 L J.4 55000	н у с на	456 L 3.15 46000	• ۹ £ 402	5.4 L J.1 H1000	501 L 2.5 L2000	2.2 L 1.6 55200	Aircreft Tine Altitude A else (bre) (ft)		
Rale: Bu NALE: Bu NALE: Bu NALE: DALE: CAS SAMPLES Banarte finding x 10 ⁻¹¹ findin x 10 ⁻¹¹ findin x 10 ⁻¹¹	FLALE BL NALET GAS SAFFLES NALET GAS SAFFLES IS: r_{10}^{-11} (II:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0,26 I		0.71	0.79	0.74	0.26	0.77	0.76	0.65	0.79	0.73	3.71	1.29	26°0	Perbo y Alecenit v			
PARE BH FILES	PLAIT BA PLAIT BA $r_{1000} r_{100} r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1000} r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{1100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{110} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{11}^{-11}$ $r_{100} r_{10}^{-11}$		low probe velocity													Benn rit e			
PASE: B4 1987: GAS SAMPLES *flasings x 10 ⁻¹¹ *flasings x 10 ⁻¹¹ *flasings x 10 ⁻¹¹ *flasings x 10 ⁻¹¹ 21.640.6 23.740.7 23.8 23.75 23.76 0.013 £0.91 11.59£0.9 11.920.4 9.720.7 23.6 17.520.9 11.920.4 10.7 23.6 23.640.6 16.740.5 17.5 25.6 23.640.6 16.740.7 19.7 25.6 25.540.4 10.740.7 27.2 25.540.4 14.740.5 17.5 15.540.1 19.740.5 27.2 15.540.1 19.740.5 17.1 16.740.5 17.12.7 15.9 16.740.5 17.12.7 13.5 16.740.5 17.12.7 13.5 16.740.5 17.12.7 13.5 17.12.7 13.5 15.9 16.740.5 17.12.7 13.5	mater B4 there cas samples "Intelogy x 10 ⁻¹¹ "Itanion g_{Δ}^{-1} 10 ⁻¹¹ "Itanion g_{Δ}^{-1} 10 ⁻¹¹ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 22. g_{Δ}^{-10} 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 22. g_{Δ}^{-10} 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 21. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 22. $g_{\Delta}^{-10.7}$ 23. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 11. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 10. T 23. $g_{\Delta}^{-10.6}$ 23. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 11. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 10. T 2. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 23. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 16. $T_{\Delta}^{40.7}$ 19. T 2. $g_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 24. $O_{\Delta}^{40.1}$ 14. $e_{\Delta}^{40.5}$ 12. T 2. $f_{\Delta}^{40.1}$ 11. $T_{\Delta}^{40.1}$ 14. $e_{\Delta}^{42.5}$ 12. T 13. $f_{\Delta}^{40.1}$ 16. $2 f_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 17. $1 f_{\Delta}^{2.7}$ 13. $f_{\Delta}^{40.1}$ 13. $f_{\Delta}^{40.1}$ 16. $2 f_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 17. $1 f_{\Delta}^{42.5}$ 15. 2 15. 2 16. $2 f_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 17. $1 f_{\Delta}^{42.5}$ 13. 1 5. 1 16. $2 f_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 17. $1 f_{\Delta}^{40.6}$ 13. 1 5. 1 16. $2 f_{\Delta}^{40.6}$		2.64	4.72	6.144	6.94	7.25	17.6	15.6	۲ 0 *6	10.7	5.46	7.09	5.47	15:1	دا••ا∘ائ¥ × 10-11 ×°	V. AV		
e11=11 mp 5 10 ⁻¹¹ f11=10m 6 10 ⁻¹ 23: 40:1 23:2 1:50/7 23:8 1:50/7 23:6 1:50/7 23:6 1:50/7 23:6 1:50/7 23:6 1:50/7 23:6 1:50/7 10.7 1:51/6.5 17.5 1:5.4 19.7 1:5.2 15.4 1:5.2 15.4 1:5.2 15.2 1:7.1/2.7 13.5 1:7.1/2.7 13.5 1:7.1/2.7 13.5 1:7.1/2.7 13.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		I	16.240.8	16.5 <u>4</u> 0.1	13.120.4	25.640.4	z4.0 <u>4</u> 0.3	21.9£0.8	23. <i>1</i> <u>4</u> 0.6	29.5£3.3	ı	17.540.9	0.013 20.011	21. 540.6 21. 620.3	°fissiona x 10 ⁻¹¹ Xr ⁹ ^R	LWIT GAS SAMPLES	tyate by	
r (1 10 007 e 5 10-1) 22:2 2:5 2:5 10.7 9.2 5.6 17.5 19.7 27.2 15.4 12.7 15.4 12.7 15.4 12.7 28.13	rinnionne 5 10-11 22:2 20:8 20:6 10.7 9.2/20.6 17.5 19.7 26.1 27.2 15.4 12.7 13.4 13.4 8.13	·	I ,	17.1 <u>6</u> 3.7	19. °£2.5	14.°£0.5	16.679.9	12. <i>* [</i> 0.5	n.1£9.1	16.1 <u>6</u> .3	m.1£9.6	ı	11. <u>4</u> 0.4	1.5927.03	26.75).7	flaston\$55 19-11			
			8.13	13.4	, 15.2	12.7	15.4	27.2	24.1	19.7	17.5	9.2 <u>7</u> 0.6	19.7	63	20.0	floolong 5 10-1	•		

. r 17 19

•

ł

.

	•									•	•					_						•
	Device	Massive 25	Kassive	Massive 23	Massive R2	Massive 31		977	976	••	517	980	982	105	495	-196	961.	λοτ Γ)78		troraft	
		12	ŭ	64	م .	F		26.6	2.1		26.8	12.3	6.0	J.9	3-15	3.25	3.2	2.6	2.1			
		1000	1000	1000	1000	1000		55500	55000 .	·	55500	56300	56300	45500	54000	49000	63500	47000	56100	•	11:1:ud. (1:)	
		gross, 3-50	KTOND, B-50	FLOHD, B-50	£1031, 3-50	gross, 3-50		fine coarse	fine Coarse		gross, LASE 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	grows, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	ETOUR, LASL 'A'	grous, LASL 'A'	EFOIR, LASL 'A'		Sample Type	
		114.	305.	196.	58.0	16.7		11.9	7.98 3.76		16.7	7.66	3.42	70.3	23.1	59.2	15.5	5 4 - 6	2.82		fissions x 10 ⁻¹² No ⁹⁹	
		0.94	0.82	0.89	0.50	1.17		1.74 F.29	5.1E 5.91	- Size Si	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	t	ł	ı	1	670	دم ^{ار} ی ۲/۱۰ ۲	U.V.E
	0.65	0.126	n.) 29	0.JiK	0.263	0.204	- Fallo	1.59	1.57 1.13	parated	1.56	5.21	5.29	0.338	0.451	0. 301	3.59	0.295	2.52	as Parti	Sr ^r 9	PARTICU:
5	0.71	• 0,46)	0.476	0.485	0,380	0.443	ut Sampl	1.57	1.11 1.03	Farticul	1. 12	۱۰	3.15	0.291	0.522	وديا.0	2.32	0.300	1.72	culate S	87 °0	ATE SAM
•	0.85	0.547	· 0. · u5	0,614	0.540	0.623	:	1.79	1.23 0.895	ate Sap	1.10	6s . t	1.87	0.563	0.632	0.570	1.lų 1	0.545	1.15	seldes	۲ ۲۹	r L'a
	7.0	3-53	3.63	1.55	1.50	?.54		11.3 15.1	10.5 4.34	plem -	11.2	15.2	14.8	3.52	1.00	3.46	, v 2	3. 1 ⁴	*. 28		ي. ج	
	0. 0	0.5%	0.545	0.512	0,717	0.161		2.50	4.47 1.82		?.0₽	7.21	7.50	0.494	0.530	0.473	5.48	0.417	1.'1		رو <mark>1</mark> 11	
	0.85	0.50	0.24	0.562	0.141	0.914		1.05 0.934	0.914 0.914	1	1. 8	0.576	0.473	0. 62	0.620	0.410	0.544	0. 11	0.611		ل 111 م	
	۳. ۰	3 7.10	(7.65	r. 3x	7.23	7.09			}.¥			1	•	0. <u>9</u> ,		5.97	1.76	7.22	1, <u>9</u> , 1		ы 1,6	
	0.1	0.25	0,25	0,76	0.23	0.25		0.11	0.275			•	,	0.203	0.73	0,772	c.737	0.217	0,246		ןא א/ג	
	o.≈	ъ 1	1.55	6 2.17	1			11	11												J 2101/4/8	
		0.41	0,40	0.49	0.54	0.10		1.54	1.5				. 1	' i		0.040	0.101	0.059			5= ~/10 ¹ ?f	
		0.055	0.026	0.057	0.040	0.258		0.436			0.0.9			0.000	0.010	n 016	0.033	0,011	0.045		~~/10 ^{1,2} f	
		42 42 10 42	1. F DT	10.4%	LC IN	TCdN		47 JL	44 DL					IASL	LASE						Analysis by	

•

TABLE B5 Farticulate Samples

1

123

120

001A 453

-*							• 0.7 <u>/</u> 0.1	R for Kr ^{d8}	/ Levice	
								used for Kr	• # = 1 -	
				•						
16 UCRL	•	d.53	•	ı	3.23		0.70	55500	26.8	g77 b
06 6 U.S.KL	•	10.720.1	13.720.7	17.2£0.4	1.62		0.70	56300	12.3	11 096
16 UCXL	•	¥. 35	4.9260.24	6.25 <u>7</u> 0.18	1.37	Low grabe velocity	0.15	56300	9.5	98º L
076 LCRL	•••	6.25	6.2 <u>6</u> .1	10.640.1	1.15		0.67	•	•	. 962 h
1420 TK2	•	4.5720.14	5.9740.15	в.1 <i>[</i> р.3	1.05		0.4g	56 JOO	6.0	. 962 I
UCHL	11	ñ. 35	17.5 <u>4</u> 0.5	0.1320.18	12.1		0.61	•	•	E toS
- UCRL		9.59	·	ŀ	16.0		0.76	45500	3.9	, 1 105
5 UCRL	1.1	1.77	2.1720.19	1.4940.07	3.27		0.68	54000	3-75	195 I
UC#L	•	3.1	I	I	J¥.O		0.63	•.	•	# 96 H
UCH	65	7.10 <u>6</u> 0.30	9n° 67 _{. 3} 0° 2	0.16 <u>60</u> .06	14.6		v.72	ocoet	3.25	196 I .
17 UCRL	•••	13.1	15,h <u>7</u> 0,h	18.2 <u>6</u> 1.7	3.24		0.53	63500	3.2	1 136
UCKL		?.7≢£0.05	ł	1	2.40		0.82	•	•	504 R
טכאב 10 יעכאב יעכאב	8 	3-13 2-70 2-71/20-11	3.7760.17 2.4320.08 2.4320.11	4.7740.04 3.4520.10 0.1420.06	0.47 ?,51 13.3		0.91 0.91	56400 4 7000	2.a P	978 I 978 B 570 I
	fieetone fieetone	flueiogy = 10 ⁻¹¹	fissions 5 10-11	eve ever a lo ₋₁₁ eve ever	XVO 11-UT ⁶⁰⁰ 841J	Remarke	Frobe V	Altitud= (ft)	ና፤ me (ኳre)	Alteraft A side
6:40100		. –		TABLE 26				A B B B B B		

ţ

APPENDIX C

PARTICLE DATA AND CHARACTERISTICS, SHOT KOA

C.1 Size Distribution, Fall Rate and Specific Activity Data

Fall rate distribution data, particle size data and specific activityfall rate data are presented in graphical form, in Figures C. 1 through C. 13, for the cloud and fallout samples listed in Table C.1. Samples 500, 502 and 977 from the cloud were separated into coarse and fine fractions with the Bahco centrifuge before determination of the distribution curves. The boundary between the centrifuge fractions is as given in Appendix B. No fall rate work was done on samples taken from the cloud at times later than four hours due to the small quantity of material collected.

TABLE C. 1

Sample List

Fall Rate	Particle Size	Specific
Distribution	Distribution	Activity
Massive Ll	Massive Ll	Massive L5
Massive L2	Massive L4	Wilson Special
Massive L3	502 Coarse	502 Coarse
Massive L4	502 Fine	502 Fine
Massive L5	500 Coarse	500 Coarse
Wilson Special	500 Fine	500 Fine
502 Coarse	977 Coarse	977 Coarse
502 Fine	977 Fine	977 Fine
500 Coarse		
500 Fine		
977 Coarse		
977 Eine	116	

SECRET RESSAR

These results are being reported primarily for record purposes.

C2. Particle Characteristics

The majority of the particles were translucent white and had an irregular shape. Some flaky aggregates, small spheres apparently formed by condensation, and clusters of varying sizes were also present. Many of the larger particles were discolored with a reddish-brown stain, presumably due to iron oxide.

The main constituents were identified as Ca(OH)₂ and CaCO₃ (both calcite and aragonite) by examination with polarized light and by X-ray diffraction. Small quantities of ocean water salts were observed in all the samples.

The particles disintegrated spontaneously into many small fragments when brought into contact with liquids. The disintegration was most rapid with water, but also occurred at a slower rate with hydrocarbons and other fluids. Due to this effect, their density could not be determined by the bromobenzene-bromoform method.

Size measurement and type classification were described in Section 2.4; this investigation is summarized in Table C.2.

117

S-D-CR-E: P-REES TREE CTED DIALTA

TABLE C.2

۱,

Particle Classification and Size Measurements

Sample	No. of Particles	Mean Size,	Part	icle Type, %	
• 	Measured	Microns	Irregular	Aggregates	Spheres
Massive Ll	115	155	67.3	18.5	14.1
Massive L4	216	65	51.4	16.2	32.4
502 Coarse	255	48	82 .0	11.0	7.0
502 Fine	287	19	93 .7	3.5	2. 8
500 Coarse	331	46	63.7 -	2.3	29 . 0
500 Fine	619	24	94.0	3.1	2.9
977 Coarse	264	47	76.1	9.5	14.4
977 Fine	299	21	94.6	2.3	3.1





100 MASSIVE LI SAMPLES MASSIVE LI, MASSIVE L2, MASSIVE L3, 1 90 WEIGHT PERCENT LESS THAN FALL RATE MASSIVE L2 MASSIVE L4 MICROMEROGRAPH SLIT WIDTH 250 MICRONS, WEIGHT RECORDED CONTINUOUSLY 80 70 DEAGGLOMERATOR PRESSURE 200 PSI 60 MASSIVE L3 50 40 30 MASSIVE L4 20 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 ĒН 0.01 100 10 1.0 FALL RATE (CM/SEC) 0.1





(





Figure C.3 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Height Line Samples, Shot Koa: Wilson Special Sample



i





Figure C.5 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 502, Fine

121

.130



Figure C.6 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 500, Coarse



Figure C.7 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 500, Fine



(

í

Figure C.8 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 977, Coarse



Figure C.9 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 977, Fine





/ 33,



Figure C. 11 Particle Size Distribution Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Samples 502, Coarse, and 502, Fine

125





· 135 ...:





DECRETT RESTRICTED DATA

APPENDIX D

METEOROLOGICAL DATA TABLES

(

Meteorological data for the shot days of Koa, Walnut and Oak are presented. Tables D-1 through D-3 give winds aloft, while Tables D-4 through D-6 give atmospheric temperature data.



S CH-BETIRIE'STITH'I CHTEDTD'T

TABLE D.1

Winds Aloft Dats, 13-14 May 1958

Alt., ft. x 10 ³	H DD	- 1 FFF	H DD	f 坊 FFT	H DD	/ 8 FF F	H DD	/ 113 FFF	H DD	/ 171 FFF	H DD	f 23	H DD	+ 28
1	07	025	08	028	00	023	08	023	0.5	023	06	021	0 7	021
2	07	027	07	013	00	030	08	025	00	024	06	023	08	023
3	08	028	07	032	00	030	08	026	09	026	08	023	08	021
ъ	08	031	08	025	00	030	09	027	09	027	08	022	09	017
5	09	029	08	025	00	026	10	023	09	025	09	024	09	016
6	10	025	09	020	00	022	11	023	09	022	09	027	09	020
7	10	027	10	016	08	019	10	023	09	021	09	023	10	021
8	10	027	10	017	09	016	08	019	09	019	09	015	10	014
9	09	022	10	017	09	010	07	017	09	012	09	012	10	009
10	09	022	12	016	10	022	09	012	09	008	05	009	10	005
12	10	025	13	017	09	015	12	011	09	006	02	004	29	003
14	11	022	15	012	12	009	12	004	09	004	20	004	25	002
16	12	012	14	012	11	008	19	010			23	004	30	003
18	11	01 0	14	012	15	009	18	004	` 33	007	21	004	31	008
2 0	07	007	13	004	15	008	2 2	008	27	01 0	24	010	28	004
23	20	008	18	016	16	014	18	014	24	009	27	013	2 9	006
25	27	012	16	016	16	01 9	12	012	22	012	28	017	30	016
30	25	021	24	018	15	020	25	019	22	018	25	014	27	015
35	19	027	17	027	17	025	17	018	20	024	24	016	25	02 0
40	2 2	025	19	025	22	020	23	027	22	023	24	02 2	23	030
45	24	035	26	045	25	03 9	24	034	25	02 3	29	021	26	025
50	29	031	28	030	2 8	027	28	02 9	27	025	27	026	27	022
55	28	011	2 3	012	19	019	20	028	23	02 2	24	02 9	28	020
6 0	14	015	21	006	24	006	27	010	30	008	35	013	02	612
65	09	006	06	007	06	00 9	04	011	04	004	07	007	05	007
70	10	014	13	008	06	005	15	006	05	010	07	012	09	012
75	10	02 0	07	017	08	020	07	014	11	021	12	015	07	010
80	10	027	09	031	10	033	11	026	10	025	09	023	10	012
85	09	036	10	046	09	048	10	044	09	035			09	039
90	09	051	11	062	00		10	053	09	053			09	048

DD ---- Wind bearing to nearest 10 degrees

FFF ---- Wind speed, knots

129

S DEDIRER STRATES TO A STRATES OF TO A STRATES

1,38

Ð

.139,31



TABLE	D.2
the second se	the second se

Alt., ft.	H DD	- 1 1 1979	H מת	∲ 2]	H nu	+ 5	H nu	+ 8 FFF	н /	111	н /	175 FFF
	<u>=</u>				<u></u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>	22			
1	07	01 0	09	02 0	10	015	04	004	05	016	07	015
2	08	019	08	01 9	08	017	07	021	07	018	08	022
3	07	01 9	09	01 9	10	017	08	015	08	018	09	02 3
<u></u> ц	09	01 9	11	019	10	017	09	018	09	018	10	02 3
5	09	017	11	01 9	10	016	10	017	09	021	10	022
6	09	013	11	018	11	015	11	017	09	023	10	020
7	09	013	11	017	11 ¹	018	11	018	09	028	10	020
8	09	013	11	014	11	015	10	015	10	017	10	020
9	10	013	11	014	11	012	11	013	10	014	10	01 9
10	10	013	11	014	10	013	12	012	12	015	10	017
12	09	010	11	011	12	009	10	011	09	010	11	012
14	11	015	09	009	11	007	12	007	11	006	12	006
16	11	020	10	013	13	010	10	008	11	008	14	01 1
18	11	02 0	11	016	12	01 9	12	010	12	013	12	01 0
20	11	018	13	011	13	017	13	014	12	012	10	011
2 3	15	016	14	008	11	006	13	012	13	012	10	012
25	20	011	17	006	13	006	12	016	14	016	11	014
30	18	025	15	012	16	01 2	16	C20	17	021	12	014
35	18	021	19	01 9	16	025	18	018	18	019	17	014
40	21	023	18	025	16	024	18	.014	17	024	17	028
45	18	014	18	015	16	023	16	024	17	039	17	037
50	19	014	18	024	15	026	15	033	18	005	21	021
55	11	005	14	014	17	C05	19	035	05	013	12	016
6 0	08	017	00	613	08	015	14	004	09	017	09	015
65	10	023			11	026				0	07	019
70	09	025			09	024			08	029	09	031
75	09	042			09	034					10	049
80	09	05 0			09	046			09	015	10	05 0
85	09	0 6 0			09	060					-09	058
90	09	064			10	066	-		05	047	09	065

Winds Aloft Data, 15 June 1958

130

S

10
1.0
- N
- 10
- 11
- 65
- 11
- Realis
- T
6.5
- 74
p i
- ST
- 6 k
- 97
- Krh
ET
n E
- 57
- iši
127
18
18
H
123
114
181
.13
19
. 24
IQ.
. H
121
. H
B
. 1
1発

TABLE D.3

0018933

WINDS ALOFT DATA, 29 JUNE 1958

90	G	8	75	70	65	<u>୍</u> ଟ		20	45	đ	35	ы	25	ည္သ	20	18	16	14 4	с С	10	9	в	7	σ	Ś	11	ω	N	Ч	x 10.3	llt., ſt.
10	10	10	ΟŢ	10	08	01	л З	ot	07	13	14	16	13	14	23	12	15	H,	11	۲	11	Ц	10	10	H	Ľ	10	<u>g</u>	8	B	н -
076	072	064	053	034	026	029	006	510	015	5T0	510	9T0	610	020	610	015	910	<u>610</u>	014	910	910	910	910	810	810	510	012	510	610	FFF	1-1/2
1 1 8 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	I L I L	1 1 1 1	0T0 TT	15 006	ττο 60	09 020	12 017	13 014	14 014	14 019	14 015	13 016	13 015	13 015	13 016	12 O14	14 015	13 016	12 017	12 017	710 11	6T0 TT	10 021	10 021	10 021	610 60	DD FFF	H + 1
ł	1	1	!	! !	1 1	1	14	ž	07	21	2	14	16	1 L	1 1	5	51 21	5	61	ъ	14 4	н З		10	01 01	ר סי	9 9	9	80	đđ	ਸ +
ł	:	1	1 1	:	;	1 I	200 700	010	610	022	010	014	. 019	t t	1 1	910	610	510	610 510	510	110		2	015	810 619	010	610	610	020	FFF	2-1/2
80	ы	Ч	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	08 0	07	9T	60	ц	4L	4 1 1	5 7	91	16	ک	л С	с Ч	μ ω	ىر س	ц С	ι υ ι	ן ר ו ט			88	080		08	B	⊭ +
063	190	058	640	037	029	027	007	810	910	014	01.4	710	020	023	710	017	710	610	910	510	015	210	3	о С С С	017	210			7 0 1 7	FFF	4-1/2
60	ŝ	ы	ŝ	ŝ	or	80	¢	4 1	80	, ot	91 1	19	5	17	5	ц З	ц С	ц ы	۲	10	10	55	5 2	5	- 5	2 4	270	20	8	B	ਸ +
073	068	058	047	<u>9</u> 036	050	026	OTO	007	510	01ų	009	008	010	010	014	80	006	010	014	Ê	25	710	7 7 7 7 7 7 7		710) () () (0.21	202		FFF	10-1/2
!	1 1	1 1	80	g,	<u>.</u>	97	ç,	، بن - ی	3	Ë	14	72	16	91 91	20	08	07	08	21	51	בן בן	:	ן ר ס ר	5 5			3	3 4	3	법	며 +
t t	I I	1	045	034	028	028	010	<u>Š</u> į	510 -	410	005	007	<u>8</u>	007	004	006	205	009		510	ALC ALC	017 710			020		200	200	2	FFF 	c/ 1_01
7 1	8	ç	ы.	9	Ъ	8	8	33	S f	ן ב ג	4 - 7 - 7	57	200	0 G B	2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	5,6	8	85	55	с С	55	38		35	ot Ot	10	55	55	 -	g •	4
5	066	058	050	035	010	004	010	200						5 LO 7 TO			2 2 2	007	25	7 7 7 7 7 7		520	220	200	022		220		2		0/ L 3 L

)

ខេរ

071

- <

1051----

E-C-R E-T-R-E-S-R-R-d-G-F-E-D+.DrA-T-A

TABLE D.4

(

Atmospheric Temperature Data, 13 May 1958

Altitude, feet	Temperature,	
	Co	
Surfac e	27.8	
310	26.8	
2,231	21, 5	
4,950	17.2	
7,874	11.5	
10,310	09.5	
14,450	. 2.5	
16,929	- 0.5	
18,209	2.5	
19,095	- 4.2	
19,240	- 4.2	
19,554	- 4.2	
24,920	-14.2	
26,90 3	-18.2	
29,331	-23.5	
31,070	-28.1	
31,870	- 30. 2	
36,036	-39.8	
36,05 0	-40.2	
40,930	-51.8	
46,850	-65.2	
51,810	-75.0	
54,680	-77.7	
56,859	-79.0	
57,684	-75.0	
60,621	-74.0	
63,030	-77.0	
64,482	-62.0	
68,120	-63.8	
73,656	-56.0	
19,101	-57.0	
04,040	-50.0	
94.149	-45 11	

132

A CHARTEN TRICTED DATE

SECRET RESTRICTED DATA

TABLE D.5

(

Atmospheric Temperature Data, 15 June 1958

Altitude, feet	Temperature, C ⁰
	25.2
SUFIACE	24.2
510	
4,710 E 249	17.0
5,340 8,30 3	0.8
0,202 10,240	7.0
10,240	5 5
11,411	2.5
13,123	0.5
16 240	- 2-8
19 080	- 8.5
24 640	-19.2
31,440	-34.5
34,056	-40, 2
35,550	-44.0
40.330	-57.0
46,140	-68.0
53,460	-79.0
53,900	-78 .0
57,618	-76 .0
60,555	-79 . 0
61,083	-68.0
64,680	-70.0
65,703	-66.0
67,270	-66.8
69 , 300	-67.0
70,257	-62.0
73,920	-63.0
76,197	-60.0
78,804	-62.0
79,629	-56.0
81,390	-54.0
971 947	

133

SECRET RESTRICTEDDATA

TABLE D.6

(

Atmospheric Temperature Data, 29 June 1958

Altitude,	Temperature,
feet	Co
Surface	25.5
280	25 . 2
3,900	16.8
4,890	15.5
10,210	- 7.2
14,320	- 0.2
19,050	- 7.2
24,640	-17.8
31,490	-32.8
31,560	33.2
35,620	-42.2
40,420	- 55 . 2
42,910	-62.0
46,240	-68.2
48,850	-74.0
49,740	-77.0
50,590	-71.0
56,050	-74.8
5 7, 590	-78.0



.7

143,40

0018933



APPENDIX E

Derivation of Formula for Percent Mo Left in Cloud

The formula given in Chapter 3 for the percent Mo⁹⁹ left in the cloud is based on a material balance for some nuclide, Y. It can be derived as follows:

Let Y_E = atoms Y formed in the explosion
e Y _C = " " left in cloud
Y _{FO} = " " in fallout
Mo _E = atoms Mo ⁹⁹ formed in the explosion
Mo _C = " " left in the cloud
Mo _{FO} = " " in the fallout
y = fraction of Mo ⁹⁹ atoms left in cloud
k = the ratio atoms Y: atoms Mo ⁹⁹ formed in thermal neutron fission, a constant
$\left[R^{99}(Y) \right]_{E}$ = R-value for nuclide Y in explosion
[R ⁹⁹ (Y)] _C ="""" " " cloud
$\left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{FO} = """ "" " " fallout$
$Y_E = Y_C + Y_{FO}$ (1)
= $Mo_E Y_E / Mo_E$

= $\operatorname{Mo}_{E}^{+} k \left[R^{99}(Y) \right]_{E}$



144,

ETCHERTTHEFTS A R. H. G.T. B. D. A.T.A

since $\left[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y}) \right]_{\mathbf{E}} = \left[\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{E}} / M_{0} \right] / \mathbf{k}$ $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}} = M_{0} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}} / M_{0} \mathbf{C}$ $= M_{0} \mathbf{k} \left[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y}) \right] \mathbf{C}$ since $\left[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y}) \right]_{\mathbf{C}} = \left[\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}} / M_{0} \right] / \mathbf{k}$ $\mathbf{Y}_{FO} = M_{0} \mathbf{F}_{O} \mathbf{Y}_{FO} / M_{0} \mathbf{F}_{O}$ $= M_{0} \mathbf{F}_{O} \mathbf{k} \left[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y}) \right]_{FO}$ since $\left[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y}) \right]_{FO} = \left[\mathbf{Y}_{FO} / M_{0} \mathbf{F}_{O} \right] / \mathbf{k}$

from (1) since $Mo_{C} = Mo_{E}y$ and $Mo_{FO} = Mo_{E}(1-y)$ $Mo_{E} \in [R^{99}(Y)]_{E} = Mo_{E}y \in [R^{99}(Y)]_{C} + Mo_{E}(1-y) \in [R^{99}(Y)]_{FO}$ (2)

dividing (2) by Mo_E^k and rearranging

$$y = \frac{\left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{E} - \left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{FO}}{\left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{C} - \left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{FO}}$$

REFERENCES

- 1. Conference, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 10 June 1957.
- 2. Fallout Project Planning Conference, Headquarters, AFSWP, Washington, D.C., 12-13 September 1957.
- 3. J. Frenkel; "Kinetic Theory of Liquids"; Oxford Press, 1946.
- 4. J.L. Magee; "Particle Size of Debris from the Atomic Bomb, Appendix II"; Rand Corporation, World Wide Effects of Atomic Weapons, Project Sunshine, R-251-AEC, 6 August 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 5. K. Stewart; "The Condensation of a Vapor to an Assembly of Droplets (with Particular Reference to Atomic Explosion Debris)"; Trans. Faraday Soc. 52, 161-73 (1956).
- 6. E.C. Freiling; "Recent Developments in the Study of Fractionation, I"; USNRDL Technical Memorandum No. 73, 25 July 1957; CONFIDENTIAL-RD.
- 7. R. D. Evans; "The Atomic Nucleus"; McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1955.
- 8. Rand Corporation; "World Wide Effects of Atomic Weapons, Project Sunshine"; R-251-AEC, 6 August 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 9. C. E. Adams; "Fallout Particles from Shots Zuni and Tewa, Operation REDWING"; USNRDL-TR-133, 1957; CONFIDENTIAL.
- R. C. Tompkins and D. W. Krey; "Mechanism of Fallout Particle Formation: I"; Chemical Warfare Laboratories, Edgewood, Maryland; Technical Report CWLR 2059, 27 November 1956; SECRET-RD.
- C. E. Adams, N. H. Farlow and W. R. Schell; "The Composition, Structures and Origin of Radioactive Fallout"; USNRDL-TR-209, 3 February 1958; UNCLASSIFIED.
- C. E. Adams and J. D. O'Connor; "The Nature of Radioactive Particles: VI, Fallout Particles from a Tower Shot, Operation REDWING"; USNRDL Report in Publication; UNCLASSIFIED.

TE-G-REE D-TREETS TURING THE D. T. A. FIA

- 13. T. Triffet and P. D. LaRiviere; "Operation REDWING, Project 2.63 Final Report" WT-1317; August 1958; SECRET-RD.
- 14. N. G. Stewart, R. N. Crooks and E. M. R. Fisher; "The Radiological Dose to Persons in the U. K. due to Debris from Nuclear Test Explosions prior to January 1956"; AERE HP/R-2017; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 15. E. R. Tompkins and L. B. Werner; "Chemical, Physical and Radiochemical Characteristics of the Contaminant"; Project 2.6a, Operation CASTLE, WT-917, September, 1955; Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 16. S. M. Greenfield, W. W. Kellogg, F. J. Krieger and R. R. Rapp; "Transport and Early Deposition of Radioactive Debris from Atomic Explosions"; Project Aureole, R-265-AEC, 1 July 1954; SECRET-RD.
- L. Machta; "Entrainment and the Maximum Height of the Atomic Cloud"; Bulletin Am. Meteor. Soc., <u>31</u>, 215 (1950); UNCLASSIFIED.
- 18. I. C. Cheeseman and D. Sams; "On the Rise of an Atomic Cloud"; AWRE Report E9/57, August, 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 19. R. R. Soule and T. H. Shirasawa; "Rocket Determination of Activity Distribution Within the Stabilized Cloud"; Project 2.61, Operation REDWING, WT-1315, Draft Manuscript; Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 20. A. D. Anderson; "A Theory for Close-In Fallout", USNRDL-TR-249; 23 July 1958; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 21. E. A. Schuert; "A Fallout Forecasting Technique with Results Obtained At the Eniwetok Proving Grounds"; USNRDL-TR-139, 3 April 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 22. J. M. Dallavalle; "Micromeritics"; Pittmann Publishing Corporation, 1948; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 23. Hearings before the Special Subcommittee on Radiation of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, Congress of the United States, Eightyfifth Congress; First Session on "The Nature of Radioactive Fallout and its Effects on Man"; Part 1, May 27, 28, 29 and June 3, 1957;

138

SECRET RESTRICTEDIDAT

U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.; UNCLASSIFIED.

- 24. Ibid., Part 2, June 4, 5, 6 and 7, 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 25. L. B. Werner; "Percent of Weapon Debris Removed by Local Fallout"; Review and Lectures No. 39, USNRDL 28 August 1957; SECRET-RD.
- 26. Rand Fallout Symposium, AFSWP-1050, 1 April 1957; SECRET-RD.
- N. M. Lulejian; "Radioactive Fallout from Atomic Bombs"; Report CS-36417, Air Research and Development Command, November 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 28. R. D. Cadle; "Effects of Soil, Yield, and Scaled Depth on Contamination from Atomic Bombs"; Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California; Cm. C. Contract DA-18-108-CML-3842, 29 June 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 29. R. L. Stetson and others; "Distribution and Intensity of Fallout"; Project 2.52, Operation CASTLE. WT-915, January 1956; U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 30. T. R. Folsom and L. B. Werner; "Distribution of Radioactive Fallout by Survey and Analysis of Contaminated Sea Water"; Project 2.7, Operation CASTLE, WT-935, Draft Manuscript; Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California and U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 31. D. C. Borg, L. D. Gates, T. A. Gibson, Jr., and R. W. Paine, Jr.; "Radioactive Fallout Hazards from Surface Bursts of Very High Yield Nuclear Weapons"; AFSWP-507; May 1954; SECRET-RD.
- 32. R. C. Tompkins; "Radiochemical Estimation of Total Activity Included Within Dose Rate Contours for Bravo Shot, Operation CASTLE"; CRLR 636, Army Chemical Center, Edgewood, Maryland, March 1956; SECRET-RD.
- 33. H. D. Levine and R. T. Graveson; "Radioactive Debris from Operation CASTLE, Aerial Survey of Open Sea Following Yankee-Nectar; NYOO-4618, 20 December 1954; SECRET-RD.
FE C R ET D # RvEn & J, Rule Gr P E TT TF TET AL

- 34. N. E. Ballou; "Radiochemical and Physical Chemical Properties of Products of a Deep Underwater Nuclear Detonation"; Project 2.3, Operation WIGWAM, WT-1011, April 1957; U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory; SECRET-RD.
- 35. R. L. Stetson and others; "Distribution and Intensity of Fallout from the Underground Shot"; Operation TEAPOT, WT-1154, March 1958;
 U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; CONFIDENTIAL-RD.
- 36. V. A. J. Van Lint, L. E. Killion, J. A. Chiment and D. C. Campbell; "Fallout Studies during Operation REDWING"; Preliminary Report Program 2 Summary, ITR 1354, October 1956; Field Command, Armed Forces Special Weapons Project, Washington, D. C.; SECRET-RD.
- 37. B. L. Tucker; "Fraction of REDWING Activity in Local Fallout"; Rand Corporation Report, 9 July 1957; SECRET-RD.
- 38. W. F. Libby; "Radioactive Strontium in Fallout"; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 42, No. 6, pp. 365-390, June 1956; UNCLASSIFIE D.
- 39. W. F. Libby; "Current Research Findings on Radioactive Fallout"; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 42, 945-964; December 1956; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 40. A. G. Hoard, Merrill Eisenbud and J. H. Harley; "Annotated Bibliography on Fallout Resulting from Nuclear Explosions"; NYO-4753, September 1956; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 41. A. G. Hoard, Merrill Eisenbud and J. H. Harley; "Annotated Bibliography on Long Range Effects of Fallout from Nuclear Explosions"; NYO-4753, Supplement 1, November 1956; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 42. A. J. Breslin and M. E. Cassidy; "Radioactive Debris from Operation CASTLE, Islands of the Mid-Pacific"; NYO-4623, January 1955; SECRET-RD.
- 43. C. T. Rainey and others; "Distribution and Characteristics of Fallout at Distances Greater than Ten Miles from Ground Zero"; Project 27.1, UPSHOT-KNOTHOLE, WT-811, February 1954; University of California, Los Angeles, California; SECRET-RD.

140

At mic Proceedings of All An

ETC R ETTAL REESSTER DUTE DIT B. A.S.

- 44. K. H. Larson; "Radio-Ecological Aspects of Nuclear Fallout"; Operation PLUMBBOB, Program 37; Department of Agriculture; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 45. J. Lockhart, R. A. Baus and J. H. Blifford; "Atmospheric Radioactivity along the 80th Meridian, 1956"; NRL Report 4965, July 23, 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 46. R. D. Maxwell and others; "Evaluation of Radioactive Fallout"; AFSWP 978, 15 September 1955; SECRET-RD.
- 47. L. F. Hubert, L. Machta and R. J. List; "A Meteorological Analysis of the Transport of Debris from Operation IVY"; U. S. Weather Bureau, NYO-4555, October 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 48. L. Machta and R. J. List; "Analysis of Statospheric Sr⁹⁰ Measurements; "U. S. Weather Bureau, March 1959; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 49. N. E. Ballou and L. R. Bunney; "Nature and Distribution of Residual Contamination, II"; Operation JANGLE, WT-397, June 1952; U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 50. P. C. Stevenson; Chemistry Division, University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California. Private Communication.
- 51. R. W. Spence and G. A. Cowan; Group J-11, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 52. K. Street; Chemistry Division, University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California.
- 53. Philip Krey; "AFSWP Fallout Symposium"; AFSWP-895, January 1955; SECRET-RD.
- 54. L. R. Bunney and N. E. Ballou; "Bomb Fraction Measurement Techniques"; USNRDL-TR-176; 11 September 1957; SECRET-RD.
- 55. P. C. Stevenson, H. G. Hicks, W. E. Nervik and H. B. Levy; "Correlation of Fractionation Phenomena in Tewa Event, Operation RED-WING"; UCRL 5027, 21 November 1957; SECRET-RD.

141

ARTICLEY ACTION 54

EIGRET RESTREST TOTOT DE AL

- 56. L. R. Bunney and E. C. Freiling; "Recent Developments in the Study of Fractionation, II"; USNRDL Technical Memorandum No. 81, 19 February 1958; CONFIDENTIAL-RD.
- 57. A. W. Goodrich; "A Rocket System for Sampling Particulate Matter Contained in Nuclear Clouds"; Cooper Development Corporation, Monrovia, California, 31 January 1959; UNCLASSIFIED.

58. Private Communication, Dr. T. Triffet, USNRDL.

59. ", E A Schuert, USNRDL.

60. ", Dr. G. Cowan, LASL.

- 61. C. W. Bastian, R. Robbiani and J. Hargrave; "X-Band Radar Determination of Nuclear Cloud Parameters"; U. S. Army Signal Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, 13 October 1958; SECRET-RD.
- L. R. Bunney, E. C. Freiling, L. D. McIsaac and E. H. Scadden; "Radiochemical Procedure for Individual Rare Earths"; Nucleonics 15, No. 2, 81-83 (1957).
- 63. L. E. Glendenin; "Determination of Strontium and Barium Activities In Fission"; Paper 236 in NNES, Div. IV, 9, 1460, edited by C. D. Coryell and N. Sugarman, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1951.
- 64. E. J. Hoagland; "Note on the Determination of Strontium as the Carbonate"; Paper 237, Ibid.
- 65. J. B. Niday; "Radiochemical Procedure for Cesium"; UCRL-4377, p. 13, 10 August 1954.
- 66. J. Kleinberg (editor); "Collected Radiochemical Procedures"; LA-1721, Second edition, 18 August 1958.
- 67. L. Wish; "Quantitative Radiochemical Analysis by Ion Exchange; Anion Exchange Behaviour in Mixed Acid Solutions and Development of a Sequential Separation Scheme"; Anal. Chem. <u>31</u>, 326 (1959).
- 68. E. Scadden; "Improved Molybdenum Separation Procedure"; Nucleonics 15, No. 4, 102 (1957).

142

G.O.B.E

145 S



LABIT 35

	E C	A GAS SAMPLES				•
be v Bonarks reaft v	11	•f1##1on# x 10 ⁻¹¹ X,55	fiselong, T. 10-11	• fireion95x 10-11		^в). Е үру
	11.5	0.001/0.0015	0.05010.002	0.0740.11	1400	c
.10 Low prove triverty Probably below muin cloud					0.308	-
.68	5.80	13.720.5	11.940.5	17.1		-
.69 No Ir done. Value from Xe ¹³³	7.03	_14.7#1.5	ı	•	0, 336	ć
I	1.69	49.121.2	36.121.7	1	0. 266	ŗ
		- 1			0.021	ŗ
5 66				2.421.8		
	be T Fari T 10 Law probe velocity 10 Probably below mwin cloud 68 Frobably below mwin cloud 68 Froba Xel Jj 10 From Xel Jj	Interference Final Semarks final Seg for the Seg for the Seg for the Second Se	Entry Bormarke fiselogy 10 ⁻¹¹ riseions x 10 ⁻¹¹ 10 Low probe valocity 13.5 0.001/0.0015 68 Frobably below mile cloud 5.80 13.7/0.5 69 No Ir done. Value 7.03 14.7/1.5 . 1.89 19.7/1.2 1.89	Ent Berry Berry Berry fields fields 10^{-11} $r_{1ellonex}$ 11^{-11} $r_{1ellonex}$ 11^{-11} $r_{1ellonex}$ 11^{-11} $r_{1ellonex}$ r_{1ellonex} r_{1ellonex}	Entry Benarite fleelogg x 10 ⁻¹¹ rfleelong x 10 ⁻¹¹ <thrfleelong 10<sup="" x="">-11 rfleelong x</thrfleelong>	Entry Bomarke finelogy x 10 ⁻¹¹ $r_{1ex100m x} 10^{-11}$ $r_{1ex100m x} 10^{-11}$ $r_{1ex10m x} 510^{-11}$ $r_{1ex10m x} 510^{-11}$ $r_{1ex10m x} 10^{-11}$ $r_{1ex10m x} 10^{-11$

111

٥

1?

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	and the second						2	11								
		GLAN A										•				Device
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	~	0.1-0	4.0	0.85	0.9	۳ 5 7.0	77 0.	.55 0.	0					}	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	JOHN Jern Jern		0.136	4.92 3.185	0.742 0.870 0.638	0.565 1.41 1.41	592 5.42 893 7.92 771 6.54	967 0.	.uqu 0. .967 0.	x; 55 78 0 1 0	111	13.0 13.7	第7813 単分	1000 1000	1 11 h 2 11 h 2 11 10	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	STADL.		n.10 ⁴	3.61	0.740	ი. მა6	1. 7.03	SCROLSAN SSR 0.1 Sut Samo]	- TALL	0	19.	և.յյ	gross, rocket	,	б. І	Vbl skey
Table 31 Table 31 Table 31 Table 31 1111 111 111 <t< td=""><td>NKDT NKDT</td><td></td><td>0.115 0.105 0.116</td><td>۔ 1.92 و.لا</td><td>- 0.892 0.772</td><td>- 1.56 1.35</td><td>145 7.72 915 7.72</td><td>6.0 6.0 6.0</td><td>48 1.2 .24 1.1</td><td>111</td><td>1 1 1</td><td>12.0 12.6 13.2</td><td>fine Rdius conrse</td><td>58,500</td><td>28.</td><td>316</td></t<>	NKDT NKDT		0.115 0.105 0.116	۔ 1.92 و.لا	- 0.892 0.772	- 1.56 1.35	145 7.72 915 7.72	6.0 6.0 6.0	48 1.2 .24 1.1	111	1 1 1	12.0 12.6 13.2	fine Rdius conrse	58,500	28.	316
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		And Statestics State						1 Carlos and		ياريد في الم						
TABLE BY TABLE BY TABLE BY <td>NTCH Nacht Nacht</td> <td></td> <td>0.075 0.111 0.121</td> <td>111</td> <td>111</td> <td>111</td> <td>F F T</td> <td>8 111</td> <td>766 0.80 40 -</td> <td>1 1 0</td> <td>111</td> <td>3.57 16.5</td> <td>f loe med lum</td> <td>57.000</td> <td>1-5</td> <td>982</td>	NTCH Nacht Nacht		0.075 0.111 0.121	111	111	111	F F T	8 111	766 0.80 40 -	1 1 0	111	3.57 16.5	f loe med lum	57.000	1-5	982
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							Idve otelr	l Particu	Separated	- Size						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	0.030	ı	ı	0.791	1. 14	16 R. 79	0.87	41 1.16	1.1	ı	16.1	gross, LASL 'A	58,500	21.5	376
TALE BY TALE		0.011	ı	ı	0.792	1.71	11 9.20	0.83	13 1.18	1.4	ı	12.3	ATOSS, LASL 'A	57,800	12.3	ទុស
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1181		ı	ı	0.766	1.69	7 5.91	0.85	jo 1.08	1.3		12.3	gross, LASL 'A'	£4,000	6.8	316
$rrnr(1 \ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1141			1007			1 8.4)	0.97	9 1.49	1.7	1	28.5	gTall, LASL 'A'	50.000	J.7	§
THEE BI THE	ערבינו איני איז איז איז איז איז איז איזעער איז איזעער איז איזעער איז איזעער איז איז איזעער איז איזעער איז איזע איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז	0.036	101.0	1 67 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1.33		0.54	a(6.0 63	0.9	ı	76.5	GTONN, LASE 'A'	58,000	J. 4	Ca
TALE BI	LASL	0.025	0.101	70		2.02	0.04	0.942	8 1.39	1.6	۱	32.3	gross, LASL 'A'	48,000	3.15	Ś.
TABLE BI TABLE TABLE TABLE TABLE TABLE TABLE TABLE TABLE BI TABLE TABLE	1671	0.036	0-104				8.17	0.898	1.27	. 1:60	1	26.5	TOIS. LAST 'A'	ш. 000	3.1	Ş.
THE BI THE B	LV21	0.039	0.100				7.16	0.711	17 0.745	0.79	ı	50 . 0	gross, List 'A'	42,000	2.5	01
reneri file Altitude Seefle Type filedegy = 10 ⁻¹² Cally 51/0 f R B R R R R R R R R R R R R R 1/1 we/10 ¹² f Amily/e	L19L	0.051	0.102	3.78 . 1.7	0.107	н.н 1.н		0.877	1.07	1.27	1	33-5	gross, LASL 'A'	57,500	1.6	8
	Abalys!. by	K. 10 ¹²	9/r	я я 156	Զ	c.137 R	c 136 R 136	491 ₽ ₽ ₽	sr 90 B	010 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12 ca45	-01 x 600 x 10	Sasçle Type	11:1:m4. (/1)	Time (hrs)	remit
								LE BT	113			6.4		143 (E15212) 24 -	「大学」	
								-				,				1

ر د د ب 8

17.

		یں کر	• لعر	78 L :	51 L J		18 1	τι Σ	0 10		σι i μσ i	ית די	+ Int		~ ~ ~	eraft ti		
		evice R fo	- l used	27.5 5	12.] 5	•	6.8 62	J-7 5	- `	3-4 58	•	1.15 HB	•	- 1 -	•5 •6 •5 •5	ine lit		a bidente de A
		، ۲ ₇ 98 -	for Kr	8500	7500	•	1000	Х С	•	000	•	8	•	ğ	8 8	ft) .		and an end of the set of the
• •		0.7 / 0.1	•	0,26	0.71	0.79	0.74	0.86	0.77	0.76	0.65	0.79	0.73	0-71	0.92 1.29	tronaft v		
	·			Low probe velocity												Benutt 4		
				2.64	4.72	6.111	6.gh	7.25	17.6	15.6	9.01	10.7	5. 46	7.09	15:1 5.47	fissiona x 10-11 No	TVA.	
113	÷			1	16.26.8	16. <u>54</u> 0.1	13. <i>11</i> 0.4	25.5£0.4	24.0£0.3	21.9£0.8	23.R£0.6	29.5£0.3	ı	17.540.9	21.020.3 21.020.3	fissions x 10-11	JET GAS SAMPLES	TAJLE BL
				v	1.14.14	19.ª£2.5	14.°£0.5	16.e40.9	ν2.°£0.5	10.1£9.1	16.7 <u>6</u> 0.3	m.1£0.6	ł	11.940.4	26.753.7 1.59£7.03	flastongs 19-11		
B				8.15	13.4	15.2	12.7	15.4	27.2	26.1	19.7	17.5	а.с 7 с.6	10.7	20,8 2.56	finiton x 10	· ·	
				•														·

•

•

..

-

-

TABLE B5 CAE FARTICULATE SAMPLES

Device		Manelve B5 1	Kassive Ph 1	Kassive Ej o		Manal To 12 6	Massive Bl 4		911 26.	.2 376	•	115		ogn 12.]	952 6.0	6·f tof	495 3.7			orn 3.2	504 2.8	2.1		Airoraft fime (hre
		12 1000	0 1000	1000	1	1000	1000		. s 55500	1 55000 .		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		56300	56300	45500	5 Strong		5 Liooo	63500	5 h7000	56400	•	Altitude (ft)
		gross, B-50	grons, B-50		B-50	gross, 3-50	gross, 3-50	•	Ґ1пе вољтзе	fine coarse		Pr 4441	Front LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	51088, tort >		gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	gTONS, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'		Sample Type
		114.	• 6, 5		196.	58.0	16.7		41.9 2.06	7.98 3.76			16.7	7.66	3.42	10.0	5	21.1	59.2	15.5	54.8	2,82		fissions x 10-12 Mo99
		0.94	0.11		0.79	0.50	1.17		1.74	5.91 5.91		2	ı		ı	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	۱	ı	Gro	Ca'2
	0.65	n. 72	,	n V	0.33	0.263	0.204	- Fallo	1.59 1.35	1.57			1.56	5.21	5.29		8FF 0	0.451	0.301	3.59	0.295	2.75	as Parti	37
	5 0.7	6 0.u		9 0.L	R 0.48	3 0.38	0.44	ut Sanış	1.57 1.30	1.03			1.12	ي. ر 4	ر ۲. ۲		0.291	0.522	وديا.0	2, 32	0. 700	2. I.C	CU1#14	, ³ 34
	7 0.6	51 0.5t		5 0 (c	5 0.FI	0 0.5 ¹	0.00		1.19	0.895		IALA SE	1.10	1.e9	1.01	:	0.563	20.602	0.570	1,40	(, n		ar r Botowa	38
	5 7.0	5 F		5 	L 7.55	0 1.50	.,		11.3 15.1	ب بر با	5		11.2	15.2		-	3.52	u.00	3.46	12.2		2	2	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	0.0	- - -	,	о 5	0.5	0,23		, ,	5.41	1.82	1 1 7		7.04	7.21		5	0_1 Q	0.530	0.423	5.45		0.417	۲, ۲	-
	0.	2	2	5 n.,	12 0.0	17 0.7		2	0.9	0.9	р Д	1	1.00	ر. بر		0.15	0.82	0.620	0. 10	- مر		0.811	0.fm	, E
	75 F.		1	יזא 7.	62 F.			ר <u>א</u> ר	بة د.	- 54 - 54	ي مر		•			1	6.93	6.60	h.97		 5 .	7.22	10.F	· 5
	. °			65 n.	3× 0.	 		0	00		0.1		1	1	ı	•	0.2	0.23	0,77		2	0.71	0.24	N/1
	.1-0.7		ŝ	254 1.88	266 2.17			-	<u>.</u>		г						Ū	*		, ~		.•		4/#/10 ¹⁷ f
			0,41	0,40			0.¥	0.70	1.74	1.83	0.1		4	ı	•	•	3.042	9.144			0.101	0.053	1	₩¢/10 ¹⁷ f #
			0.055	0.026		0 220 0	n.0#0	0.258	0.436	0.305	0.0			010	0.777	0.792	0.714	0.010	2 0 1 C	0.015	0.059	0,011	0.095	v/10, 1
			7645	VEDL		10.45	KI DL	VPDL	1012	10.41	1.4			151.	1.5L	172F	: A SE		141	INSL	LUSL	173L	TY 21	٩

114

1 ?, 120

001A 455

		g 116	12 096	96° I	982 I	· 982 L	H toS	, 1 toś	1 56 ₁	12 Jeh	1196 I .	951 R	504 B	378 F 578 R 578 R	Alteraft & side		120
• R = 1 / Devic		26.8	12.3	9-5	•	6.0	•	3.9	3-15	•	3.25	3.2	•	2.1 * 2.8	11ne (br•)		
umed for Kr • R for Kr ⁸⁸		55500	56 300	. 56300	•	56 JOO	•	45500.	54000	•	oc of t	63500	-	5 ⁶⁴⁰⁰	Altitude (ft)		n beise
. 0.7 £ 0.1		0.70	0.70	0.15	0.67	o.49	0.61	0.76	0.68	0.63	0.72	0.53	0.62	16°0 18°0 C6°0	trobe T		「「「」」「「」」
				Low grobe velocity				•							Remeriks		
		3.23	1.62	1.37	1.15	1,05	12.1	16.0	3.27	14.0	14.8	3.24	2.40	0,47 ?.51 13.3	fleeiongy 19-11 Mo ⁵ 9	OVI	
		ı	17.220.4	6.2540.18	10.640.1	8.1£0.3	0.7520.18	ı	1.49£0.07	ı	0.16 <u>40</u> .06	16.721.7	ı	4.7240.04 3.4570.10 0.14/20.06	•fissiong ₅ x 10 ⁻¹¹	GAS SAMPLES	1 BE
		ł	13.760.7	12.0750.24	e.? <u>f</u> 0.1	5.97 <u>4</u> 0.15	17.J <u>6</u> 0.5		2.1720.14	ı	9n. 07 30.2	15, 1 <u>7</u> 0, 1	F	3.7740.17 2.9320.08 2.%720.11	*ficeing55 10-11		
	-	s.53	10.740.1	5t . f	6.25	ù.57£0.14	n. 15	9.59	1.11	3.1	7.70 <u>7</u> 0.30	13.1	5. 1×10.05	2.10 2.10 2.10 2.11	"fiselogg = 10"ll		
		0.16	0.00	0.16	0.078	0.351	11	•	1.0		đ	0.16		66 0,10 66	flootune 6,736 = 7 (67	flestone # 99 _ 199/- 80	
.*		OCHL		UCXL			11CV1								"E AEslyst"	~	6018623

:

115

ーデード

ľ

12-121

	Ties of The	ALLING R5	BE BATERS	assive R3	Vasilae 32	Vaselve L1		•	,60 L 11			•	.el L 6	•:	1 1	8	•	02 S	:	28	11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 L 6.5		-7 4.5	, 3.0		2.75		, 2.3		reraft (hri)
	,	21 IOOO	10 1000	8 1000	6 1000	▲ 1000			60008		80000	•	5 56000		6 6060	5 45000		3000		00200	60000		50000	56000		60600	4 9000		45000		40000		(n)
			gross, 3-50	0 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	gross, 3-50	[T033, 3-50		001780	fine	004780	fin•	001758	fine	001768	fina	fine aorrae		fine .		gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'		gross, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'		gross, LASL 'A'	groud, L'SL 'A'		gross, LASL 'A'	ſ	STORE, LASL 'A'		Sazjle Type
		632.	330.	314	157.	00		0.01	8.65	1.41	12.9	2.10	10.8	6.88	46.9	406.		335. 307.		1.354	0.873	1.04	0.928	2.65	2 74	11.4	13.3	11 1	13.5	17.2	12.2		11451303 x 10 ⁻¹²
		27.2	30.6	28.9	26.7) L			10.6	29.2	16.9		30.6	0.20	33.5	28.0		27.2		•		1	•	•	•	-	•	•	20.7	•			10 ⁴ 10 ¹
	0.65	0.318	0.319	0.303	0.294	0_308	0-235		26.2 ·	.0.	22.2		5.05		22.2 1	0.614	7 84	0.277	31ze 3	3.71	34.89 10		26.51 7	1	2.60 1.	17.36 5.	•	0.572 0.	2.16 1.		0.509 0.	Gross	Sr Sr
	0.77	0.528	0.540	0.523	0.526	0.521	0.481	-	8.35 5.59	1.00	7.15		1.64		4-20	0.701	0.667	0.471	Seperated	. 72	02	2	.76)	•	. 57 0	.63 fl		768 0.	0.0	; >	- 18 U.	Particu	
	0.00	0.570	0.570	0.554	0.539	0,602	0.425	Fallout :	1.88 1.68		0-836		1.09	:	1.79	0.660	0.990	0.474	Partiou 1.08		•		1.88	•	9 996 6	.67 65 0	•	.759 5.	54 C F 6	~	100		
-		7.0Y	4.95	86.4	4.83	5.01	4.97	ample a	7.66		08		7.07		8.99 5.26	3.33	7.71	3.27	1.1. 340 1.1. 340			a 207 6	- 53 3	•	.08	.82 28		.63 0.	34 3.	5			-
		0.9	0.449	0.465	0.450	0.485	0.237		43.5		13.7	4	5.17		39.4	1.03	4.05	0.381	1.59		2	2.7	, c	, , ,	85		a ۱	.707 0	14			542 0	~
		0.95	0.004	0.030	0.655	0.678	0.550		0.986		0.758	0.972	1.05		1.08	0.757	0.996	629.0	1.16		0.842	1.049		3 1	0.944	0.364	8 '	.985	. 884	. 849	•	. 818	20
							3.24		2.41	3 37	3.31	2 . 97	5.53		4.23		4.18	0.04	0		1	•	•		• •	3.22	3.32	4.37	3.90 0	4.24 (' 0	1.31 0	R
		0.1-0.	0.171	0.119		0.115	0,100		0.128	n-100	0.119	0.116	0.132	154	0.163	0.101	0.141		0.163		ı	•	0.121	•	0.144	0.137	0.131	0.137	0.113	.135	. 120	. 131	4/r e/
Ĩ		2	5.5	5.39	•	•			•	•	1	1			•	12.8	8.57		10.5		•	•	8.14	8.76	9.21 9.21		1		•••	7.25	•	7.10	2/10-1
	-		3.2	3.6	.1	•	•	•	۱	-	•		•	•		5.18	3.50	• 60	1.33		,	•	6,10	•	4.11		•	•			1	1	
			o 	7 1.	2.	2.	•		1.(gross) 1.C	•	•	•	•	1.8	0.94	1.91	1.46	2.04		١		1.0	1.36	2.27	2.27	1.00	2.20	2.20	2.13		2.19	-
2193			8 8	68	8	04	2	12	22	Ň					~										·		1.09		0.485		101	0.373	
HI			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ľ	•	1	•	•		•	•	•				•		ı		- :	i	•	•	3.37	3.52	
Ĵ			•	•	•	, ,		•	•			; ; ¥∶	•			- -		. AF	110	E MA	ç		۲ si	NI-DI LI SI	10.11	- Lu	I Mar	100	52	101	V 11.		
								NOL		é é	2	Ę	P	ŝ	2	28	10	ž	ř.	<u>, </u>	5	-	r-	: '	-			t					

Aparra Bio ay Asta - 1934

0018933

TABLE BI